UNITED
NATIONS

EP

United Nations
Environment
Programme

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/76/8
8 April 2016

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Seventy-sixth Meeting
Montreal, 9-13 May 2016

MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENT DATABASE REPORT

Background

1. The multi-year agreement (MYA) database records relevant information associated with specific activities (investment and non-investment) of tranche implementation plans of an approved HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP). It has been reviewed and revised in several occasions to address mainly technical issues raised by key users.

Discussion at the 74th and 75th meetings

2. At its 74th meeting, the Executive Committee requested the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (SMEO) and the Secretariat for "options to reduce the burden on bilateral and implementing agencies when submitting information for the MYA database" and "options to enable the countries concerned to confirm the data being entered in the MYA database by the bilateral and implementing agencies" (decision 74/6(b)(i) and (ii)). Subsequently, at its 75th meeting, the Executive Committee offered guidance in the form of general principles, namely: the duplication of reporting requirements should be avoided; and relevant information should be included ahead of time from project documents available to the Secretariat to avoid increasing the administrative burden. The Executive Committee decided to request the SMEO and the Secretariat "to work closely with bilateral and implementing agencies on the implementation of decision 74/6, and to report back to the Executive Committee for further consideration of the issue at the 76th meeting"(decision 75/6(b)).

Enterprise level data

3. Following thorough discussion between bilateral and implementing agencies and the Secretariat, it was concluded that the MYA database should be streamlined and focus mainly on information at the enterprise level. This information is important for monitoring and evaluation purposes as well as for undertaking analysis and reports requested by the Executive Committee and/or the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

4. Additional reasons to streamline the database include *inter alia*: the inconsistency of the financial component between the annual implementation tranche section with the actual tranche request; the difficulties posed by the necessity to revise data following approval of a project and the need to update entries; and the urgency to reduce data inconsistencies to a minimum.

Actions to address decision 75/6(b)

5. To address decision 75/6(b) and to respond to the needs related to project review and to alleviate the burden on all stakeholders, the MYA database will be streamlined to keep only information at the enterprise level as well as information on the main non-investment activities (namely in the servicing sector) with associated costs and amounts of HCFCs to be phased-out.

6. Bilateral and implementing agencies will be required to submit the information for all enterprises that have been converted to an alternative HCFC technology. Subsequently, the database will be updated to register new enterprises as new projects are approved, and to account for changes in funding, consumption and production of ODS, as projects evolve. For projects with a high number of small and medium size enterprises, the possibility to create an umbrella project compiling the information required for all of them will be done on a case by case basis.

7. The MYA database will follow the structure of the Secretariat's Inventory of approved projects database, which has a proven efficiency in gathering information on each project for a period of 20 years. For ease of reference, the fields for the MYA database, based on the Inventory, can be found in Annex I of this document. Pursuant to decision 74/6(b)(ii) a form will be developed for bilateral and implementing agencies to obtain approval from countries before submission. Bilateral and implementing agencies will be invited to test the new electronic version of the MYA database when ready and submit the required data subsequently.

RECOMMENDATION

8. The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To take note of the multi-year agreement (MYA) database report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/76/8; and

(b) To request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to report back to the 77th meeting of the Executive Committee on the status of implementation of decision 75/6(b).

Annex I

LIST OF FIELDS FOR THE MYA DATABASE

Field Name	Description
ENTERPRISE	Name of enterprise
COUNTRY	Name of beneficiary country
CITY / ADDRESS	City and civic address
CODE	General information about the project (formatted as "XXX/YYY/#/ZZZ/#), including the country or region (XXX), the sector (YYY), the ExCom meeting at which it was approved (#) and the type of project (ZZZ). The last character (#) is a consecutive number
AGENCY	Implementing agency (IBRD, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO) or bilateral when the project is financed through bilateral assistance
TYPE	The following codes are used: CPG=Country programme preparation; DEM=Demonstration; INS=Institutional strengthening; INV=Investment; PRP=Project preparation; TAS=Technical assistance; TRA=Training
SEC	ARS=Aerosol; CLS=Closure CFC or halon production; FOA=Foam; FUM=Fumigant; HAL=Halon; MUS=Multi-sector (investment project covering more than one sector); OTH=Other (e.g., tobacco); PRO=Production; REF=Refrigeration; SOL=Solvents; SEV=Several
SUBSECTOR	Subsector
APPLICATION	Similar to subsector (except for HCFC phase-out plans, when applicable)
PROJECT_TITLE	Project title, including name of enterprise(s) to be converted where applicable
PROJECT_DESCRIPTION	Brief description of the project where applicable
PRODUCTS_MANUFACTURED	Products and goods manufactured by the enterprise. Production output is provided when available
TECHNOLOGY	Replacement technology
ODS_NAME1	Name of controlled substance to be phased out (e.g., CFC-12)
ODP1	Amount of the controlled substance to be phased out in weighted tonnes (ODP)
ODS_REPLACEMENT1	Name of non-ODS replacement substance (e.g., HAP)
ODS_NAME2	Ibid ODS_NAME1 field for a second substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODP2	Ibid ODP1 field for a second substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_REPLACEMENT2	Ibid ODS_REPLACEMENT1 field for a second substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_NAME3	Ibid ODS_NAME1 field for a third substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODP3	Ibid ODP1 field for a third substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_REPLACEMENT3	Ibid ODS_REPLACEMENT1 field for a third substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_NAME4	Ibid ODS_NAME1 field for a fourth substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODP4	Ibid ODP1 field for a fourth substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_REPLACEMENT4	Ibid ODS_REPLACEMENT1 field for a fourth substance to be phased out, if applicable
ODS_PRODUCTION1	Name of controlled substance in the production sector to be phased out (e.g., CFC-12)
ODP_PRODUCTION1	Amount of the controlled substance in the production sector to be phased out in weighted tonnes (ODP)
ODS_PROREPLA1	Name of non-ODS replacement substance in the production sector (e.g., ABC powder)
ODS_PRODUCTION2	Ibid ODS_PRODUCTION1 field for a second substance to be phased out in

Field Name	Description
	the production sector, if applicable
ODP_PRODUCTION2	Ibid ODP_PRODUCTION1 field for a second substance to be phased out in the production sector, if applicable
ODS_PROREPLA2	Ibid ODS_PROREPLA1 field for a second substance to be phased out in the production sector, if applicable
IMPACT	Total amount of ODS to be phased out by the project in ODP tonnes (e.g., ODP1+ODP2+ODP3+ODP4)
IMPACT_PRODUCTION	Total amount of ODS to be phased out in the production sector by the project in ODP tonnes (e.g., ODP1+ODP2+ODP3+ODP4)
ODS_PHASEDOUT	Amount of controlled substance already phased out in weighted tonnes (ODP)
ODS_PHASEDOUT_PROD	Amount of controlled substance already phased out in the production sector in weighted tonnes (ODP)
PRIOR CONSUMPTION	Consumption in the three years prior to the approval
ACTUAL CONSUMPTION	Annual consumption
FUND_APPROVED	Funds approved by ExCom and allocated to the relevant implementing agency (in US dollars)
MEETING	Number of ExCom meeting at which the project was approved
DATE_APPROVAL	Month and year of ExCom meeting at which the project was approved
FUND_DISBURSED	Funds disbursed by the implementing agency (in US dollars)
CAPITAL_COST _APPROVED	Capital cost of the project (e.g., cost of equipment, tools, plant modification)
CAPITAL_COST _ACTUAL	
OPERATING_COST_APPROVED	Operating costs and/or savings associated with the project when implemented
OPERATING_COST_ ACTUAL	
COST_EFFECTIVENESS	Cost effectiveness of the project calculated as the ratio of total funds allocated to total amount of ODS (in ODP kilogram) to be phased out (US$/kg) (***To be generated automatically by the database***)
DATE_COMPLETION_APPROVED	Expected date of completion or in the case of an investment project, the date when the project becomes operational (as reported in project document)
DATE_ COMPLETION _ACTUAL	Actual date of completion (based on progress report)
UMBRELLA_PROJECT	The project covers more than one enterprise
LOCAL_OWNERSHIP	Local share ownership of the enterprise (in %)
EXPORT_TO	Percent of production exported by the enterprise to non-Article 5 countries
STATUS_CODE	Status of project implementation (e.g., Ongoing, Closed, Completed, Newly Approved)
REMARKS	