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PROVISIONAL ANNOTATED AGENDA

1. Adoption of the agenda and organisation of work

After adoption of the Agenda, the Sub-Committee may wish to organise its work over one and one-half days with a view to adopting its report on the afternoon of the second day.

2. Status report on the evaluation of aerosol projects

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/5: presents the status report on the evaluation of aerosol projects.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Take note of the status report presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/5.

3. Report on the desk study on halon projects

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/6: presents the main findings resulting from a desk study of halon projects.
Issues to be addressed:

- Evaluation issues identified and approach proposed for selected field visits of halon projects, as presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/6.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Take note of the report on the desk study on halon projects presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/6.

4. Report on the information collected concerning the status of implementation of MAC projects: follow-up to Decision 36/3

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/7: presents the information collected concerning the status of implementation of MAC projects as follow-up to Decision 36/3.

Issues to be addressed:

- Progress achieved in terms of phasing out CFC-12 based MAC systems;
- Reported on-going installation of CFC-12 MAC systems in new cars in some countries;
- On-going production of CFC-based MAC systems and components for the after sales market;
- Regulations adopted or planned in various countries to complete the phase-out in the MAC sector, including for the servicing sector.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to prepare an up-date of the present report in two years when most of the regulations under preparation by the countries concerned should be operational and the production of CFC-12 MAC systems will likely have ended, except for some limited quantities for the after sales market.

2. Limit the field evaluations to India, the only country which reported that significant numbers of CFC-MAC systems are still being installed by car manufacturers.

5. 2001 accounts of the Multilateral Fund

Documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/8: This document summarises the audited accounts of the Fund for the years 1991-2000 and the certified accounts for the year 2001.

Issues to be addressed:

- Reconciliation of data in Accounts of the Fund with the data in the implementing agencies’ progress and financial reports
Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note with appreciation the report contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/8.

6. Completed projects with balances

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/9: contains the submission of the implementing agencies on completed projects with balances and the return of funds from cancelled projects.

Issues to be addressed:

• Balances from projects completed over two years ago; and
• Agency support costs.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the report contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/9.

2. Note the levels of funds being returned to the 37th Meeting totalling US $14,804,128 plus support costs as follows by agency: US $299,193 from UNDP and agency support costs of US $38,894; US $69,497 from UNEP and agency support costs of US $9,035; US $493,300 from UNIDO and agency support costs of US $64,128 and US $13,942,138 from the World Bank agency support costs of US $1,535,718 as calculated by the Secretariat.

3. Note that implementing agencies had balances totalling almost US $3,530,055 excluding support costs from projects completed over two years ago. The amount withheld by agency would be: UNDP (US $653,985 plus support costs); UNEP (US $6,477 plus support costs); UNIDO (US $2,292,146 plus support costs); and the World Bank (US $577,447 plus support costs).

4. To offset the balances in recommendation 3 above against future approvals for the implementing agencies.

5. Request the World Bank to return agency support costs for all project savings and cancelled projects that were approved after the 16th Meeting and fully account for all balances in its progress report and in its report on balances to be submitted to the 38th Meeting.

7. Implementation delays

Document UNEP/Ozl.Pro/ExCom/37/10: contains an assessment of progress on projects identified with implementation delays based on decisions taken at previous meetings of the Executive Committee. It also presents additional requests for project cancellations.
Issues to be addressed:

- Possible removal of projects from consideration as projects with implementation delays;
- Projects where deadlines were not achieved;
- Phasing out of CFCs at Tanzania Domestic Appliance Manufacturers Ltd.;
- Milestones and deadlines for projects with no progress;
- Project and project component cancellations; and
- Cancellation of projects where funds were disbursed and phase-out achieved.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the reports contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/10 on projects that have experienced implementation delays from France and the four implementing agencies.

2. Note that the Secretariat and implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat’s assessment of status, i.e., progress, some progress, or no progress and report and notify governments as required.

3. Request reports on projects in Table 2 of UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/10 where deadlines were not achieved and determine if any further action is warranted.

4. Determine if any action is warranted for the projects classified with no progress in Table 3 of UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/10.

5. Receive a report on the Tanzania Domestic Appliance Manufacturers Ltd. (URT/REF/18/INV/06) and note the action warranted.

6. Set deadlines to achieve the milestones as follows:

   (a) Conversion to CFC-free technology in the manufacture of flexible (slabstock) polyurethane foam project at Chengdu Plastics No. 7 in China (CPR/FOA/20/INV/179) (World Bank); establish the Line of Credit prior to the submission of documents to the 38th Meeting.

   (b) Attarwala and Co. Pvt. Ltd. Aerosol conversion, Bombay in India (IND/ARS/22/INV/137) (World Bank); obtain approval by Chief Controller of Explosives prior to the submission of documents to the 38th Meeting.

7. Cancel the projects or project components as follows:

   (a) Phasing out CFCs at Laboratoire Bendi in Algeria (ALG/ARS/20/INV/18) (UNIDO); noting that the Government agrees to recuperate the equipment and ensure that the equipment purchased for the Bendi project for future use in the conversion of an aerosol plant in Algeria and the phase-out of 19.2 ODP tonnes and US $53,700 was disbursed of the US $56,790 approved before project
(b) Phasing out CFC-11 at Ets Leulmi Essaid flexible polyurethane foam plant in Algeria (ALG/FOA/22/INV/21) (UNIDO).

(c) Phasing out of CFCs in the manufacturing plant of domestic refrigerators of Radio Victoria Catamarca, S.A. in Argentina (ARG/REF/22/INV/58) (UNIDO); noting that the original approval was for US $599,896 and US $145,352 was disbursed.

(d) Elimination of CFCs in the manufacturing plant of domestic refrigerators at Lobato San Luis S.A. in Argentina (ARG/REF/23/INV/69) (World Bank).

(e) Project preparation in the aerosol sector in Bosnia and Herzegovina (BHE/ARS/30/PRP/03) (UNIDO).

(f) Partial cancellation of the Hydraumatic component of the Umbrella project for five enterprises converting from CFC-11 to HCFC-141b and from CFC-12 to HFC-134a at EZ Industria, Hydraumatic, Menoncin, Unifrio and from CFC-12 to HFC-134a at Croydon in Brazil (BRA/REF/34/INV/219) (UNIDO); noting the adjustment of the project budget from US $469,452 to US $429,652 plus support costs.

(g) Conversion from CFC-11 to HCFC-141b technology and from CFC-12 to HFC-134a technology in the manufacture of commercial refrigeration equipment and rigid polyurethane foam at Baharanfarr Co. in Iran (IRA/REF/31/INV/70) (UNDP); noting that Government informed UNDP that the company has changed owners and stopped its operation using ODS representing a phase-out of 19 ODP tonnes.

(h) Preparation of a phase-out project in the methyl bromide sector in Kenya (KEN/FUM/30/PRP/21) (UNIDO).

(i) Phasing out of CFCs at INDATEC/Industria de aplicacoes tecnico-domesticas Ltd. in Mozambique (MOZ/REF/18/INV/04) (UNIDO); noting that US$ 334,113 of US $581,515 approved for the project was disbursed before cancellation.

(j) Conversion of commercial refrigeration equipment to phase out CFC-12, HCFC-502 and CFC-11 at Technofrig S.A. in Romania (ROM/REF/19/INV/08) (UNIDO); noting that 6.9 ODP tonnes was phased out and that US $42,024 of US $297,768 was disbursed before cancellation.

(k) Phasing out CFC-11 at Go-Ya Sungar Ltd. Sti. in Turkey (TUR/FOA/23/INV/31) (UNIDO); noting that US $219,771 of US $533,400 approved for the project was disbursed before cancellation.

8. Note that the phase-out should be counted against the concerned country’s consumption and be recorded as such in progress reports for cancelled projects where equipment was delivered and most of the project funds were disbursed to the enterprise.
8. Progress reports as at 31 December 2001:

(a) Consolidated progress report

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/11: summarises the progress and financial information of the implementation of projects and activities supported by the Fund through 31 December 2001.

Issues to be addressed:

• Differences between the Accounts of the Fund and implementing agency progress reports;
• Increase in implementation delays in investment projects of six months on average;
• Progress of implementation at the country level; and
• The rise in the number of cancelled projects.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the Consolidated Progress Report of the Multilateral Fund as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/11.
2. Request the implementing agencies to explain at the Meeting any differences between the 2001 Accounts of the Fund and the levels of approved funds and disbursements in their progress reports.

(b) Bilateral cooperation

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/12: contains the progress report on activities implemented under bilateral cooperation by: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Sweden and the United Kingdom.

Issue to be addressed:

• Progress reports were not received from Japan, Poland and Switzerland; and
• Data inconsistencies

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note with appreciation the progress reports submitted by the Governments of Australia, Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Sweden and the United Kingdom.
2. Request the Governments of Japan, Poland and Switzerland to provide their progress reports to the 38th Meeting of the Executive Committee in the context of projects with implementation delays.
3. Request the Governments of Belgium, France, and Germany to provide reports on the projects with implementation delays to the 38th Meeting of the Executive Committee.

4. Request bilateral agencies to verify their data against the Inventory of Approved Projects before submitting it in progress reports.

5. Request the Government of Germany to clarify in a report to the 38th Meeting in the context of projects with implementation delays the apparent project overrun in Egypt and the condition of approval for Egypt’s and Syria’s national recovery and recycling projects.

(c) UNDP


Issue to be addressed:

- Actions on 3 projects presented for individual consideration following reports from UNDP;
- Apparent project overruns;
- Morocco foam projects;
- Multiple year agreements; and
- Differences with the Inventory of Approved Projects.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note UNDP’s progress report contained in (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/13).

2. Note that through May 2001, UNDP had 259 projects that it had classified as completed for over one year with remaining balances totalling US $3,952,495.

3. Note that UNDP would report to the 38th Meeting on up to 79 projects with implementation delays including 28 projects that were so classified last year.

4. Take actions (continued monitoring or cancellation) on the following projects after hearing updated reports from UNDP:

   (a) Conversion from CFC-11 to LIA technology in the manufacture of flexible polyurethane boxfoam at four Argentinean enterprises Cosmos; La Cardeuse; Jovis; Micropore in Argentina (ARG/FOA/31/INV/111) and to reformulate the project for the one remaining financially viable company while noting that the other three companies cannot come back to the Fund for funding per Decision 29/8;
(b) Phase-out of CFC-11 and CFC-12 by conversion to HCFC-141b and HFC-134a, respectively in the manufacture of commercial refrigeration equipment at Quena S. A. in Costa Rica (COS/REF/27/INV/20); and

(c) Elimination of CFCs 11 and 12 in the manufacture of domestic freezers at JILIN JINOUR Electric Appliances Group Co. in China (CPR/REF/23/INV/225).

5. Reimburse US $105,826 to UNDP for projects balances that had been returned by UNDP but against which disbursements were charged. In so doing, the Executive Committee may wish to note with concern the inaccurate data reporting from UNDP. It might also note that UNDP would be further modifying its reported disbursements for projects contained in its progress report for US $245,899 that was incorrectly assigned to the wrong project accounts that resulted in apparent project overruns which did not exist.

6. Take actions, as appropriate, concerning the multiple year, performance-based agreements in China’s solvent sector after receiving clarification about 2000 phase-out achievement from UNDP, and the methyl bromide agreement in Costa Rica after receiving clarification about the latest status of UNDP’s efforts for an agreement with the Government of Costa Rica in the light of the concerns expressed by melon growers.

(d) UNEP


Issues to be addressed:

- Slow implementation in 14 institutional strengthening projects;
- Halon banking for 5 Latin American and Caribbean Countries; and
- Global publications.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note UNEP’s progress report contained in (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/14).

2. Request UNEP to provide additional status reports on the institutional strengthening projects with slow implementation in: Bahamas, Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Congo DR, Dominica, Grenada, Lesotho, Mozambique, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, and Tanzania. In addition, note with concern that institutional strengthening projects in the following countries are classified for the second year with slow implementation: Central African Republic, Dominica, Mozambique, St. Vincent, and Tanzania and for the third year for Bahamas, Botswana, Papua New Guinea, and St. Kitts/Nevis.
3. Note that through May 2001, UNEP had 16 projects that it had classified as completed for over one year with remaining balances totalling US $323,040.

4. Note that UNEP has 24 projects classified with implementation delays, including 16 projects that were so classified last year, and that a report on these projects should be submitted to the 38th Meeting.

5. Cancel the project “Development of a halon bank for five Latin American countries” (LAC/HAL/26/TAS/28), and request that a new project should be submitted in accordance with the established precedents for regional halon banking projects.

6. Request UNEP to provide verification that the following documents have been completed as planned:

   (a) Update Technology Sourcebooks and quality review updated material (GLO/SEV/16/TAS/76);

   (b) Training modules on management of ODS phase-out in SMEs (GLO/SEV/19/TAS/112); and

   (c) Develop fact sheet describing win-win technology options relative to Kyoto and Montreal Protocols (GLO/SEV/27/TAS/182).

   (e) UNIDO


Issues to be addressed:

- Slow implementation of institutional strengthening project in Yugoslavia;
- Possible project cancellation for the Bole Electric Appliances Group refrigeration project in China (CPR/REF/23/INV/222) and the Friobox rigid foam project in Venezuela (VEN/FOA/31/INV/83); and
- Differences with the Inventory of Approved Projects.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note UNIDO’s progress report contained in (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/15).

2. Request UNIDO to provide an additional status report on the institutional strengthening project with slow implementation in Yugoslavia, while noting concern that institutional strengthening project in Yugoslavia was classified for the second year with slow implementation.
3. Note that through May 2001, UNIDO had 138 projects that it had classified as completed for over one year with remaining balances totalling US $4,661,333.

4. Note that UNIDO will report on up to 34 projects with implementation delays including 21 projects that were so classified last year to the 38th Meeting.

5. Take actions (continued monitoring or cancellation) on the following projects after hearing updated reports from UNIDO:

(a) Phasing out of ODS at the refrigerator plant of Bole Electric Appliances Group in China (CPR/REF/23/INV/222); and

(b) Phasing out of CFC-11 with HCFC-141b at Friobox in the production of rigid P.U. panels in Venezuela (VEN/FOA/31/INV/83).

6. Note that the balances returned to the 30th Meeting for the following projects were subsequently revised by the data for the same projects presented to the 34th Meeting. The Treasurer should revise the amount returned for the following projects with the data provided to the 34th Meeting:

   BOT/FUM/24/PRP/04    CMR/FUM/24/PRP/13    COL/FUM/21/PRP/23
   CRO/FUM/24/PRP/07    DOM/FUM/25/PRP/18    DRK/FUM/23/PRP/04
   IDS/FUM/23/PRP/69    JAM/FUM/24/PRP/08    JOR/FUM/23/PRP/36
   MDN/FUM/25/PRP/08    MEX/FUM/22/PRP/58    THA/FUM/22/PRP/65
   TUR/FUM/24/PRP/36    URU/FUM/24/PRP/26.

7. Note that the balances returned to the 34th and 36th Meeting for ALG/REF/27/PRP/35 was in error. The Treasurer should adjust the record for the project accordingly. In authorising these adjustments due to errors in reporting by UNIDO, the Executive Committee may wish to note with concern the inaccurate data reporting from UNIDO.

   (f) Progress Report of the World Bank


   Issues to be addressed:

   • Ongoing projects approved in 1994 or before;
   • Apparent project overruns;
   • Multiple year performance-based agreements;
   • Planned completion dates for three projects (CPR/FOA/29/INV/311, CPR/FOA/29/INV/323 and IDS/FOA/29/INV/115);
• Project implementation delays in Colombia (COL/FOA/29/INV/39);
• Equipment to be destroyed for aerosol project in China (CPR/ARS/24/INV/244);
• Outcome of the May 2002 mission of India Real Value halon project (IND/HAL/18/INV/60); and
• Differences with the Inventory of Approved Projects in completion date, funds approved, adjustments, support costs and the amount of ODS phase-out

**Action expected from the Sub-Committee:** The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the World Bank’s progress report contained in (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/16).
2. Request the World Bank to provide a comparable level of information to the other agencies for its 7 institutional strengthening projects to the 38th Meeting in the context of projects with implementation delays.
3. Note that through May 2001, the World Bank had 72 projects that it had classified as completed for over one year with remaining balances totalling US $11,953,777.
4. Note that the World Bank will report to the 38th Meeting on up to 78 projects with implementation delays including 47 projects that were so classified last year.
5. Authorise the World Bank to adjust the budgets for the lines of grants approved at the 7th Meeting for Jordan and Tunisia to reflect the level disbursed on a project by project basis.
6. Note that an overrun of US $1,000 for the 50% foam project in China is not authorised pursuant to Decision 17/22.
7. Provide responses at the meeting to the Secretariat’s queries about multiple year agreements during the 17th Meeting of the Sub-Committee and noting the responses concerning:

   (a) The delay reported by the Bank in the signing of the Bahamas agreement;
   (b) The need for an amended agreement for the CFC phase-out programmes in Malaysia and Thailand;
   (c) The slow disbursement of funds for the China halon sector phase-out plan and CFC production phase-out plan;
   (d) The CFC MAC sector phase-out in China in 2001;
   (e) Halon production plants dismantling in 2001 in India; and
   (f) The March 2002 milestone for the signature of all sub-loan agreements for the
Thai chiller project.

8. Note that Turkey did not achieve its consumption reduction target in 2000 per its agreement with the Executive Committee and subtract 6 ODP tonnes from its allowable 2001 methyl bromide consumption.

9. Comply with future requests from the Secretariat for providing information on such agreements in the context of progress reporting.

10. Request reports to the 38th Meeting in the context of implementation delays for the following projects for which the Bank was not able to assess a future completion date: Shenzhou foam project in China (CPR/FOA/29/INV/311) and Handan Huisheng foam project in China (CPR/FOA/29/INV/323) and PT Sentra Sukses Selalu foam project in Indonesia (IDS/FOA/29/INV/115).

11. Request the World Bank not to submit requests for projects in Colombia until the required tax exemption for Multilateral Fund projects implemented by the World Bank is in place.

12. Request the World Bank to release funds for the Real Value halon project in India or consider transferring the project to another agency.

13. Note with concern the Bank’s ongoing differences regarding basic project data such as funds approved, adjustments and support costs and request the Treasurer to certify these data for the World Bank in a report to the 38th Meeting.

9. Evaluation of the implementation of the 2001 business plans

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/17: presents the evaluation of the 2001 business plans of the implementing agencies and the recommendations of the Secretariat.

Issues to be addressed:

- Implementing agencies’ performance against their 2001 business plan performance indicators;
- Missing indicator assessment for indicators unique to UNEP; and
- The possible need of a new performance indicator for financial completion of project.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the evaluation of the implementing agencies’ performance against their 2001 business plans as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/17.
2. Note UNEP’s performance against indicators unique to UNEP’s specific mandate.
3. Decide to withhold funds amounting to balances from projects completed over 24 months previously.

10. **Update report on the status of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the initial control measures of the Montreal Protocol**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/18: contains two parts. Part I is prepared in response to Decision 32/76(b) and presents a discussion of the methodology followed by analyses of compliance for CFCs, halons, methyl bromide, carbon tetrachloride (CTC) and methyl chloroform (TCA). Part II contains an analysis of the ODS consumption data by sector presented by Article 5 countries to the Executive Committee on the implementation of their country programmes.

**Issues to be addressed:**

- Status of compliance and business planning
- Possible non-compliance with CFC freeze for the Bahamas that has an agreement with the Executive Committee.
- Apparent data errors due to reporting of negative consumption and baselines.
- Possible non-compliance with methyl bromide freeze for countries that have phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee.

**Action expected from the Sub-Committee:** The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Note the update report on the status of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the initial control measures of the Montreal Protocol as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/18.

2. Request the relevant Article 5 countries and implementing and bilateral agencies to take into consideration the analyses contained in this report (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/18) for the purposes of future business planning.

3. Request the Secretariat to write to the Government of the Bahamas for an explanation of the CFC data reported to the Fund Secretariat on the implementation of country programme that exceeds by more than 50% the agreed maximum level of consumption for 2001 per the Bahamas national phase-out agreement.

4. Urge Costa Rica, Georgia, Ghana, Lebanon, Malawi, Morocco, Peru, Turkey and Uruguay to expedite the implementation of their phase-out agreements to achieve the freeze in methyl bromide consumption.

5. Request Argentina, China, Croatia and Romania to reconsider their CTC data to ensure that CTC for feedstock is not included.
6. Request Argentina to reconsider its data for halon consumption in 2001 reported to the Fund Secretariat on the implementation of country programme that indicates a negative consumption.

7. Request El Salvador to reconsider its data for methyl bromide consumption in 2001 reported to the Fund Secretariat on the implementation of country programme that indicates a negative consumption.

11. **Addenda to 2002 business plans**

**UNDP**

Document **UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/19**: contains the addendum to UNDP’s 2002 business plan.

**Issues to be addressed:**

- Activities included in the addendum;
- Revised weighted and un-weighted performance indicators; and
- Allocation of funds if activities are not approved.

**Action expected from the Sub-Committee:** The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Endorse the Addendum to UNDP’s 2002 Business Plan as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/19 while noting that endorsement did not denote approval of the project identified therein nor its funding level, and

2. Note that weighted and un-weighted performance indicators would be revised, as appropriate.

3. Consider how funds for the addendum should be allocated if the activities are not approved.

**UNIDO**

Document **UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/20**: contains the addendum to UNIDO’s 2002 business plan.

**Issues to be addressed:**

- Activities included in the addendum;
- Revised weighted and un-weighted performance indicators; and
- Allocation of funds if activities are not approved.
Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Endorse the Addendum to UNIDO’s 2002 Business Plan as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/20 while noting that endorsement did not denote approval of the activities identified therein nor their funding levels, and

2. Note that weighted and un-weighted performance indicators would be revised, as appropriate.

3. Consider how funds for the addendum should be allocated if the activities are not approved.

World Bank


Issues to be addressed:

• Activities included in the addendum;
• Revised weighted and un-weighted performance indicators; and
• Allocation of funds if activities are not approved.

Action expected from the Sub-Committee: The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

1. Endorse the Addendum to the World Bank’s 2002 Business Plan as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/21 while noting that endorsement did not denote approval of the activities identified therein nor their funding levels, and

2. Note that weighted and un-weighted performance indicators would be revised, as appropriate.

3. Consider how funds for the addendum should be allocated if the activities are not approved.

12. Other matters

13. Adoption of the report of the Sub-Committee

-------