EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
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IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
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Montreal, 31 March – 1 April 2003

PROVISIONAL ANNOTATED AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting

2. Adoption of the agenda
   
The Sub-Committee may wish to adopt the draft agenda as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/SCPR/28/1.

3. Introductory remarks
   
   Introductory remarks by the Chief Officer on the Sub-Committee’s programme of work, on submissions to the 39th Meeting of the Executive Committee and on resource availability.

4. Organisation of work:
   
   Monday session: 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.; 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
   Tuesday session: 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

5. Overview of issues identified during project review
   
   The issue is listed below, together with a reference to the relevant paragraphs in the Overview Paper, (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/20 and Corr.1):
   
   (a) Bilateral funding for UNEP’s CAP activities (paragraphs 4 and 5)
Agreement responsibilities in RMP preparation and implementation (paragraphs 6 to 10)

The Sub-Committee may wish to make recommendations on the issue raised.


Six proposals from the Governments of Canada and Germany have been reviewed by the Secretariat and recommended for blanket approval (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/SCPR/28/2/Corr.1). Four proposals from the Governments of the Czech Republic, Hungary, the Slovak Republic and Sweden have been referred for individual consideration. The issues concerning each proposal are outlined in the Secretariat’s comments contained in the above document. Four proposals from the Governments of Germany and Sweden will be considered under investment projects in Agenda Item 8.

The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend the six proposals for approval and make further recommendations as appropriate on the four proposals for individual consideration.

7. Work programmes:

(a) 2003 UNEP work programme amendments (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/22 and Corr.1)

(b) 2003 work programme of UNDP (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/23)

(c) 2003 work programme of UNIDO (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/24)

(d) 2003 work programme of the World Bank (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/25)

All of the proposed activities are recommended for blanket approval (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/SCPR/28/2/Corr.1) except for six activities in UNEP’s 2003 work programme amendments which are referred for individual consideration, six UNEP activities associated with RMPs that will be considered under investment projects, and seven activities in UNDP’s 2003 work programme which are also referred for individual consideration. The issues associated with the relevant activities for individual consideration are described in the Secretariat’s comments on the work programme amendments of UNEP and on the 2003 work programme of UNDP in the documents indicated above.

The Sub-Committee may wish to (i) endorse the recommendations for blanket approval for activities contained in the work programme amendments for UNEP and the 2003 work programmes of UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank; (ii) make recommendations for the six activities with issues in UNEP’s work programme amendments and the seven activities with issues in UNDP’s 2003 work programme on
the basis of the information provided in the documentation and the briefing provided by the Secretariat at the meeting.

8. **Investment projects:**

Investment projects, sectoral strategies and associated implementation plans from the governments of 10 countries are presented for consideration by the Sub-Committee on Project Review. The project descriptions and the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on these projects are contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/26 to 39/36.

(a) **Investment projects recommended for blanket approval**

Nine investment projects and related activities are recommended for blanket approval in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/SCPR/28/2/Corr.1. (Sub-Committee members might note that the document also contains those projects and activities arising from bilateral cooperation and from work programmes of the implementing agencies which were recommended for blanket approval. These activities were considered in the preceding agenda items)

*The Sub-Committee is invited to recommend that the investment projects on the list be approved.*

(b) **Projects for individual consideration**

Annex I to this paper contains a total of 11 projects and sector or national strategies/phase-out plans listed for individual consideration.

*The Sub-Committee may wish to make recommendations on the projects, sectoral strategies and national phase-out plans in Annex I on the basis of the Secretariat’s comments and the costs indicated in the country project documents, and other factors, as appropriate.*

9. **Status report on progress in the implementation of approved methyl bromide projects** (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/37/Rev.1)

Status reports on progress with implementation of methyl bromide projects have been received from Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Malawi and Morocco.

*The Sub-Committee may wish to recommend that the Executive Committee take note of the status reports, and provide guidance to UNIDO on how to proceed with the implementation of the three MB phase out projects approved for Morocco.*
10. **Policy paper:**

   (a) Liquid carbon dioxide (LCD) technology and guidelines for LCD projects
       (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/39/38 and Corr.1)

   *The Sub-Committee may wish to make recommendations as appropriate on the issues raised.*

11. **Other matters**
Annex I

Projects for individual consideration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project title</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Agreed funding request US $ (incl. Support costs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>National ozone depleting substances phase-out plan</td>
<td>UNIDO and UNEP</td>
<td>328,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>Phase-out of CFC-11 by conversion to n-pentane in the manufacture of rigid foam products for insulating purposes at Stirokart Co.</td>
<td>UNIDO</td>
<td>391,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>Replacement of CFC-12 with HFC-134a, CFC-11 with HCFC-141b, and TCA with non-cleaning process in the manufacture of commercial refrigeration equipment, panels, and heat exchangers at three enterprises (umbrella project)</td>
<td>UNIDO</td>
<td>198,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Tobacco sector plan: 2003 annual work programme</td>
<td>UNIDO</td>
<td>2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Sector plan for phase-out of ODS in chemical process agent applications and carbon tetrachloride production: 2003 annual programme</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>CFC production sector: 2003 annual programme</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>13,975,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>41,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)</td>
<td>UNIDO</td>
<td>217,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>CFC production sector gradual phase-out: 2003 annual programme</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>6,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Conversion of TCA based cleaning process to non-ODS alternatives at the Kenya Power and Lighting Company</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>96,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>Terminal phase-out management plan for ODS (1st phase)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>712,075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>