



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/20/Add.1
15 July 2008



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Fifty-fifth Meeting
Bangkok, 14-18 July 2008

Addendum

UNEP WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENTS FOR 2008

- **Replace section B1 “HCFC phase-out plans” in Table 1 as follows:**

Country	Activity/Project	Amount Requested (US \$)	Amount Recommended (US \$)
SECTION B: ACTIVITIES RECOMMENDED FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION			
B1. HCFC phase-out plans:			
Afghanistan	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	85,000
Antigua and Barbuda	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Bahamas	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Bahrain	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	103,000	150,000*
Barbados	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Belize	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Bhutan	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	50,000
Brunei Darussalam	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	80,000	50,000
Burkina Faso	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	50,000
Burundi	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Central African Republic	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	50,000
Chad	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
China	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	700,000	*
Comoros	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	50,000
Congo, Republic of the	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Democratic Republic of the Congo	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	270,000	85,000
Djibouti	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	50,000
Eritrea	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	0
Gabon	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Grenada	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Guyana	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000

Country	Activity/Project	Amount Requested (US \$)	Amount Recommended (US \$)
Islamic Republic of Iran	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	80,000	*
Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	453,500	0
Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	210,000	195,000*
Lao People's Democratic Republic	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	150,000
Madagascar	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Malawi	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Maldives	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	85,000
Mali	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	50,000
Mauritania	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Mongolia	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	50,000
Mozambique	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	0
Nepal	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	50,000
Oman	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	38,500	*
Pakistan	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	120,000	*
Rwanda	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Saint Lucia	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Sao Tome and Principe	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Saudi Arabia	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	190,000	0
Suriname	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	200,000	85,000
Yemen	HCFC phase-out management plan preparation	210,000	195,000*
Subtotal for HCFC phase-out management plan preparation:		9,158,500	*

*pending

- **Replace** paragraphs 23 and 24 with the following paragraphs:

23. Following the issuance of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/20, UNEP and the Secretariat continued to discuss the costs for the HPMP preparation particularly for those countries where it is the only implementing agency. In these discussions, UNEP agreed to reconsider the costs of their requests for these countries and agreed on the costs proposed by the Secretariat for these countries, particularly those where HCFC is used in servicing only. UNEP also informed the Secretariat that in the countries where current consumption is HCFC-22 only and where there is an identified cooperating agency but UNEP is designated as lead (i.e. countries which qualify for US\$85,000), there was an agreement all the funds will go to UNEP however the coordinating agency will be part of the implementation once the HPMP is submitted.

23 bis. In countries where HCFC is used in both servicing and manufacturing, UNEP also agreed that for those where they are the only agency, the costs proposed by the Secretariat are agreed as per the countries' consumption levels. However, in cases where there is a cooperating agency, there continues to be no agreement on the costs since additional funds were being sought for each country on top of the recommended amount of the Secretariat to cover costs of the involvement of the cooperating agency. The Secretariat noted that the total funding level for which a country is eligible is the basis for the recommendation per country and any sharing of funds should be within the amounts proposed therefore it could not agree to the additional costs requested by UNEP for other cooperating agencies. In line with this, the Secretariat therefore

cannot recommend the requested amounts for these countries, and therefore these remain pending.

23 *ter*. During these discussions there was also the understanding that following the analysis of the Secretariat, for countries with HCFC use in manufacturing, the cooperating agency could come back to request project preparation funds for the investment project components where necessary, once more detailed information is collected for the sectors. This request for additional project preparation, however, should be done during the process of the preparation of the HPMP, and that the lead agency should ensure that the final submitted HPMP should contain the requirements of decision 54/39 which includes the overarching strategy for phase out as well as fundable Stage 1 of this process which identifies the individual projects or sector plans that will enable the country to comply with the 2013 and 2015 control measures to meet HCFC phase out. As most of the countries where UNEP is requesting funding are those with HCFC use in servicing only, there was also an agreement that the final funding recommended should enable the country to develop a final HPMP that will also contain the requirements of decision 54/39 and will enable the country to comply with the 2013 and 2015 control measures

23 *qua*. In the case of Eritrea, Iraq, Montenegro, Saudi Arabia and South Africa, these countries have not yet reported HCFC consumption under Article 7. In the absence of this data and consistent with the Secretariat's approach to use consumption as a basis for recommending project preparation funding, the Secretariat cannot recommend the requests for these countries at this meeting. UNEP is requested to defer these requests to a future meeting at the time when HCFC consumption data becomes available and is officially reported under Article 7.

23 *quin*. With regards to the request for HPMP preparation for China, since it involves multiple agencies other than UNEP, no agreement has been reached on the final amount for HPMP preparation, therefore these continue to remain pending.

Fund Secretariat's recommendations

24. In view of the above, the Executive Committee may wish to:

- (a) Approve the preparation of HCFC phase-out management plans for the countries and their corresponding funding as listed in Table 1 above;
- (b) Request UNEP to defer the requests for Eritrea, Iraq, Mozambique and Saudi Arabia to a future meeting once HCFC consumption data becomes available and is officially reported under Article 7.

24 *bis*. The Executive Committee may also wish to note that the remaining requests for the preparation of HCFC management plans where no agreement has been reached are still pending.
