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Addendum

UNEP WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENTS FOR 2008

- Replace section B1 “HCFC phase-out plans” in Table 1 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Activity/Project</th>
<th>Amount Requested (US $)</th>
<th>Amount Recommended (US $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>103,000</td>
<td>150,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo, Republic of the</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Activity/Project</td>
<td>Amount Requested (US $)</td>
<td>Amount Recommended (US $)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Republic of Iran</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>453,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>195,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao People's Democratic Republic</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lucia</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Tome and Principe</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>HCFC phase-out management plan preparation</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>195,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal for HCFC phase-out management plan preparation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9,158,500</strong></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pending

- Replace paragraphs 23 and 24 with the following paragraphs:

23. Following the issuance of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/20, UNEP and the Secretariat continued to discuss the costs for the HPMP preparation particularly for those countries where it is the only implementing agency. In these discussions, UNEP agreed to reconsider the costs of their requests for these countries and agreed on the costs proposed by the Secretariat for these countries, particularly those where HCFC is used in servicing only. UNEP also informed the Secretariat that in the countries where current consumption is HCFC-22 only and where there is an identified cooperating agency but UNEP is designated as lead (i.e. countries which qualify for US$85,000), there was an agreement all the funds will go to UNEP however the coordinating agency will be part of the implementation once the HPMP is submitted.

23 bis. In countries where HCFC is used in both servicing and manufacturing, UNEP also agreed that for those where they are the only agency, the costs proposed by the Secretariat are agreed as per the countries’ consumption levels. However, in cases where there is a cooperating agency, there continues to be no agreement on the costs since additional funds were being sought for each country on top of the recommended amount of the Secretariat to cover costs of the involvement of the cooperating agency. The Secretariat noted that the total funding level for which a country is eligible is the basis for the recommendation per country and any sharing of funds should be within the amounts proposed therefore it could not agree to the additional costs requested by UNEP for other cooperating agencies. In line with this, the Secretariat therefore
cannot recommend the requested amounts for these countries, and therefore these remain pending.

23 ter. During these discussions there was also the understanding that following the analysis of the Secretariat, for countries with HCFC use in manufacturing, the cooperating agency could come back to request project preparation funds for the investment project components where necessary, once more detailed information is collected for the sectors. This request for additional project preparation, however, should be done during the process of the preparation of the HPMP, and that the lead agency should ensure that the final submitted HPMP should contain the requirements of decision 54/39 which includes the overarching strategy for phase out as well as fundable Stage 1 of this process which identifies the individual projects or sector plans that will enable the country to comply with the 2013 and 2015 control measures to meet HCFC phase out. As most of the countries where UNEP is requesting funding are those with HCFC use in servicing only, there was also an agreement that the final funding recommended should enable the country to develop a final HPMP that will also contain the requirements of decision 54/39 and will enable the country to comply with the 2013 and 2015 control measures.

23 qua. In the case of Eritrea, Iraq, Montenegro, Saudi Arabia and South Africa, these countries have not yet reported HCFC consumption under Article 7. In the absence of this data and consistent with the Secretariat’s approach to use consumption as a basis for recommending project preparation funding, the Secretariat cannot recommend the requests for these countries at this meeting. UNEP is requested to defer these requests to a future meeting at the time when HCFC consumption data becomes available and is officially reported under Article 7.

23 quin. With regards to the request for HPMP preparation for China, since it involves multiple agencies other than UNEP, no agreement has been reached on the final amount for HPMP preparation, therefore these continue to remain pending.

**Fund Secretariat’s recommendations**

24. In view of the above, the Executive Committee may wish to:

   (a) Approve the preparation of HCFC phase-out management plans for the countries and their corresponding funding as listed in Table 1 above;

   (b) Request UNEP to defer the requests for Eritrea, Iraq, Mozambique and Saudi Arabia to a future meeting once HCFC consumption data becomes available and is officially reported under Article 7.

24 bis. The Executive Committee may also wish to note that the remaining requests for the preparation of HCFC management plans where no agreement has been reached are still pending.