

Annex X

**LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS
ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS**

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Algeria (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2014) and fourth (2017) tranches of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 86 th meeting had been withdrawn, and urging the Government of Algeria to work with UNIDO to expedite implementation of the second (2012) tranche of stage I so that the third and fourth (2014 and 2017) tranches could be submitted to 87 th meeting with a verification report and a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches.
Bahamas (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of the Bahamas to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Bahrain (Stage I)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Bahrain to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Bangladesh (Stage II)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Bangladesh to work with UNDP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the second (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Barbados (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Barbados to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Belize (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the national ozone unit (NOU) and the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed, and urging the Government of Belize to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Benin (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and urging the Government of Benin to work with UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Bosnia and Herzegovina (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and that the overall disbursement rate of the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina to work with UNIDO so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Burundi (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU, and urging the Government of Burundi to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Chad (Stage I)	Nothing the delays due to banking issues to receive payments and urging the Government of Chad to work with UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Comoros (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, and urging the Government of the Comoros to work with UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Congo (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays in the Government endorsement of the project document and signing of the agreement, and urging the Government of the Congo to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Djibouti (Stage I)	Noting the delays in the Government endorsement of the project document and signing of the agreement, and urging the Government of Djibouti to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Dominica (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU, the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent threshold and urging the Government of Dominica to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Equatorial Guinea (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, and urging the Government of Equatorial Guinea to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Gabon (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU, and urging the Government of Gabon to work with UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Grenada (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU, that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Grenada to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Haiti (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Haiti to work with UNEP so that the third (2018) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Kuwait (Stage I)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Kuwait to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement, and with UNEP and UNIDO so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Mali (Stage I)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement due to instability in the country, and urging the Government of Mali to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement, and with UNDP and UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Mauritania (Stage I)	Noting the delays in the Government endorsement of the project document and signing of the agreement, and urging the Government of Mauritania to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement, and with UNDP and UNEP so that the second (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches.
Mexico (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Mexico to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Mozambique (Stage I)	Noting that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 86 th meeting had been withdrawn because the overall disbursement rate of the third (2016) and fourth (2018) tranches was below the 20 per cent threshold, and urging the Government of Mozambique to work with UNEP to expedite implementation of the third (2016) and fourth (2018) tranches of stage I so that the fifth (2020) tranche could be submitted to the 87 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Niger (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of the Niger to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Nigeria (Stage II)	Noting the delay due to lengthy process for completion and endorsement by stakeholders of the stage II project document and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Nigeria to work with the Government of Italy and UNDP so that the second (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Pakistan (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2019) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Pakistan to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Papua New Guinea (Stage I)	Noting the delays in implementing the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP, and urging the Government of Papua New Guinea to work with the Government of Germany so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches.
Philippines (the) (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of the Philippines to work with UNIDO so that the second (2019) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2019 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Saint Kitts and Nevis (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed, and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Saint Kitts and Nevis to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Saint Lucia (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed, and that the overall disbursement rate of the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Saint Lucia to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Somalia (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to complex implementation modalities between UNIDO and the NOU, and urging the Government of Somalia to work with UNIDO so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
South Africa (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and that the overall disbursement rate of the fourth (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of South Africa to work with UNIDO so that the fifth (2018) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
South Sudan (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the structural change in the country and constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of South Sudan to work with UNDP and UNEP so that the second (2018) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Suriname (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and urging the Government of Suriname to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Thailand (Stage II)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Thailand to work with the World Bank to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the second (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Togo (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, and urging the Government of Togo to work with UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to difficulties implementing project activities in the country, and urging the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to work with UNIDO so that the second (2019) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2019 and subsequent tranches.
Viet Nam (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Viet Nam to work with the Government of Japan and the World Bank so that the third (2018) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 87 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.