TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 1 : STATUS OF THE FUND FROM 1991-2007 (IN US DOLLARS)

As at 16 March 2007

INCOME				
Contributions received:				
- Cash payments including note encashments				1,874,077,993
- Promissory notes held				30,419,395
- Bilateral cooperation				116,449,237
- Interest earned				165,629,652
- Miscellaneous income				20,699,310
Total Income				**2,207,275,587**
ALLOCATIONS* AND PROVISIONS				
- UNDP			523,158,902	
- UNEP			122,981,918	
- UNIDO			470,404,413	
- World Bank			875,765,062	
Less Adjustments			-	
Total allocations to implementing agencies				**1,992,310,295**
Secretariat and Executive Committee costs (1991-2007)				
- includes provision for staff contracts into 2009				59,587,751
Treasury fees (2003-2007)				2,050,550
Monitoring and Evaluation costs (1999-2007)				2,540,754
Technical Audit costs (1998-2005)				909,960
Information Strategy costs (2003-2004)				
- includes provision for Network maintenance costs for 2004				104,750
Bilateral cooperation				116,449,237
Provision for fixed-exchange-rate mechanism's fluctuations				
- losses/(gains) in value				(24,194,005)
Total allocations and provisions				**2,149,759,292**
Cash				**27,096,900**
Promissory Notes:	**February**	**2007**	0	
	August	**2007**	6,825,656	
	November	**2007**	2,079,700	
		2008	7,346,498	
		2009	4,824,573	
		Unscheduled	9,342,968	**30,419,395**
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS				**57,516,295**

* Amounts reflect net approvals for which resources are transferred including promissory notes that are not yet encashed by the Implementing agencies. It reflects the Secretariat's inventory figures on the net approved amounts. These figures are under review in the on-going reconciliation exercise.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 2 : 1991 - 2007 SUMMARY STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER INCOME

BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS

As at 16 March 2007

Description	**1991-1993**	**1994-1996**	**1997-1999**	**2000-2002**	**2003-2005**	**1991 - 2005**	**2006**	**2007**	**1991 - 2007**
Pledged contributions	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	2,046,337,598	133,466,667	133,466,667	2,313,270,931
Cash payments/received	205,992,884	381,375,628	412,051,448	406,430,280	392,155,658	1,798,005,898	65,158,510	10,913,585	1,874,077,993
Bilateral assistance	4,366,255	12,089,441	22,035,587	22,683,491	48,504,138	109,678,912	4,507,483	2,262,842	116,449,237
Promissory notes	0	0	0	0	9,014,995	9,014,995	21,404,400	0	30,419,395
Total payments	210,359,139	393,465,069	434,087,035	429,113,771	449,674,791	1,916,699,805	91,070,393	13,176,427	2,020,946,625
Disputed contributions	0	8,098,267	0	0	0	8,098,267	0	0	8,098,267
Outstanding pledges	24,570,102	31,376,278	38,479,974	10,886,230	24,325,209	129,637,793	42,396,274		172,034,067
Payments %age to pledges	89.54%	92.61%	91.86%	97.53%	94.87%	93.66%	68.23%	9.87%	87.36%
Interest earned	5,323,644	28,525,733	44,685,516	53,946,601	19,374,449	151,855,943	13,773,709	0	165,629,652
Miscellaneous income	1,442,103	1,297,366	1,223,598	1,125,282	1,386,177	6,474,526	14,195,999	28,785	20,699,310
TOTAL INCOME	217,124,886	423,288,168	479,996,149	484,185,654	470,435,417	2,075,030,274	119,040,101	13,205,213	2,207,275,587

Accumulated figures	**1991-1993**	**1994-1996**	**1997-1999**	**2000-2002**	**2003-2005**	**1991 - 2005**	**2006**	**2007**	**1991-2006**
Total pledges	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	2,046,337,598	133,466,667	133,466,667	2,313,270,931
Total payments	210,359,139	393,465,069	434,087,035	429,113,771	449,674,791	1,916,699,805	91,070,393	13,176,427	2,020,946,625
Payments %age to pledges	89.54%	92.61%	91.86%	97.53%	94.87%	93.66%	68.23%	9.87%	87.36%
Total income	217,124,886	423,288,168	479,996,149	484,185,654	470,435,417	2,075,030,274	119,040,101	13,205,213	2,207,275,587
Total outstanding contributions	24,570,102	31,376,278	38,479,974	10,886,230	24,325,209	129,637,793	42,396,274	120,290,239	292,324,307
As % to total pledges	10.46%	7.39%	8.14%	2.47%	5.13%	6.34%	31.77%	90.13%	12.64%
Outstanding contributions for certain Countries with Economies in Transition (CEITs)	24,570,102	31,376,278	32,807,714	9,811,798	7,511,983	106,077,876	2,006,804	3,196,516	111,281,196
CEITs' outstandings %age to pledges	10.46%	7.39%	6.94%	2.23%	1.58%	5.18%	1.50%	2.39%	4.81%

PS: CEITs are Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania,Poland, Russian Federation,Slovakia,Slovenia,Tajikistan,Turkmenistan,Ukraine and Uzbekistan

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 3 : 1991-2007 Summary Status of Contributions

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions	Exchange (Gain)/Loss. NB:Negative amount = Gain
Australia*	42,547,681	38,468,443	1,479,745	0	2,599,493	763,380
Austria	24,078,043	22,510,912	131,790	0	1,435,341	-973,034
Azerbaijan	861,199	311,683	0	0	549,516	0
Belarus	2,630,446	0	0	0	2,630,446	0
Belgium	29,815,944	28,029,705	0	0	1,786,239	614,656
Bulgaria	1,124,419	1,096,013	0	0	28,406	0
Canada*	80,153,929	67,421,702	8,352,060	0	4,380,166	-3,070,515
Cyprus	279,004	154,747	0	0	124,257	0
Czech Republic	6,392,934	6,021,060	66,090	0	305,783	39,515
Denmark	19,577,942	19,372,941	205,000	0	0	-1,043,060
Estonia	173,111	173,111	0	0	0	0
Finland	15,514,909	14,172,426	451,870	0	890,614	-701,975
France	174,488,777	140,848,617	14,255,299	9,342,968	10,041,893	-13,407,929
Germany	257,235,538	191,235,269	37,672,566	18,996,727	9,330,976	-154,972
Greece	11,697,640	8,388,646	0	0	3,308,994	-631,033
Hungary	3,914,121	3,867,627	46,494	0	0	-351
Iceland	871,058	814,246	0	0	56,812	-35,558
Ireland	6,663,287	6,663,286	0	0	0	208,838
Israel	8,752,739	3,724,671	38,106	0	4,989,962	0
Italy	135,730,921	122,671,400	9,794,489	0	3,265,032	3,291,976
Japan	447,006,278	395,768,144	16,203,212	0	35,034,923	0
Kuwait	286,549	286,549	0	0	0	0
Latvia	367,493	367,493	0	0	0	2,174
Liechtenstein	216,922	216,922	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	548,045	14,975	0	0	533,070	0
Luxembourg	1,945,528	1,945,528	0	0	0	-105,909
Malta	74,838	51,445	0	0	23,393	0
Monaco	168,092	163,080	0	0	5,013	183
Netherlands	45,113,079	44,565,288	0	0	547,791	0
New Zealand	6,501,127	6,131,848	369,279	0	0	68,428
Norway	16,616,121	15,481,550	0	0	1,134,571	171,673
Panama	16,915	16,915	0	0	0	0
Poland	6,754,716	5,871,410	113,000	0	770,305	0
Portugal	9,576,096	5,900,271	101,700	0	3,574,125	198,162
Russian Federation	97,408,180	0	0	0	97,408,180	0
Singapore	531,221	459,245	71,976	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	2,025,388	1,923,647	16,523	0	85,218	0
Slovenia	802,182	802,182	0	0	0	0
South Africa	3,793,691	3,763,691	30,000	0	0	0
Spain	65,620,244	59,822,182	1,587,282	0	4,210,779	-87,244
Sweden	30,785,395	27,239,490	1,878,303	0	1,667,602	-711,810
Switzerland	33,234,398	29,720,845	1,821,541	0	1,692,012	-1,339,391
Tajikistan	99,977	5,333	0	0	94,644	0
Turkmenistan***	293,245	5,764	0	0	287,481	0
Ukraine	8,933,991	785,600	0	0	8,148,391	0
United Arab Emirate	559,639	559,639	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom	147,602,676	126,561,927	565,000	0	20,475,749	-7,290,209
United States of America	563,256,903	469,142,641	21,567,191	2,079,700	70,467,371	0
Uzbekistan	628,361	188,606	0	0	439,755	0
SUB-TOTAL	**2,313,270,931**	**1,873,708,714**	**116,818,516**	**30,419,395**	**292,324,307**	-24,194,005
Disputed Contributions (**)	8,098,267	0	0	0	8,098,267	
TOTAL	**2,321,369,198**	**1,873,708,714**	**116,818,516**	**30,419,395**	**300,422,574**	

NB: (*) The bilateral assistance recorded for Australia and Canada was adjusted following approvals at the 39th meeting and taking into consideration a reconciliation carried out by the Secretariat through the progress reports submitted to the 40th meeting to read $1,208,219 and $6,449,438 instead of $1,300,088 and $ 6,414,880 respectively.

(**) Amounts for France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom netted off from the 1996 contributions and are shown here for records only.

(***) In accordance with Decisions V!/5 and XVI/39 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, Turkmenistan has been reclassified as operating under Article 5 in 2004 and therefore its contribution of US$5,764 for 2005 should be disregarded.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 4 : Status of Contributions for 2007

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	2,660,143				2,660,143
Austria	1,435,341				1,435,341
Azerbaijan	8,355				8,355
Belarus	30,077				30,077
Belgium	1,786,239				1,786,239
Bulgaria	28,406				28,406
Canada	4,700,366				4,700,366
Cyprus	65,167				65,167
Czech Republic	305,783				305,783
Denmark	1,199,738	1,199,738			0
Estonia	20,051	20,051			0
Finland	890,613				890,613
France	10,075,793				10,075,793
Germany	14,473,719		2,247,998		12,225,721
Greece	885,600				885,600
Hungary	210,539	210,539			0
Iceland	56,812				56,812
Ireland	584,830	584,830			0
Israel	780,331				780,331
Italy	8,162,562	6,530,049			1,632,513
Japan	29,362,667				29,362,667
Latvia	25,064	25,064			0
Liechtenstein	8,355	8,355			0
Lithuania	40,103				40,103
Luxembourg	128,663	128,663			0
Malta	23,393				23,393
Monaco	5,013				5,013
Netherlands	2,823,896	1,700,000			1,123,896
New Zealand	369,279	369,279			0
Norway	1,134,571				1,134,571
Poland	770,305				770,305
Portugal	785,344				785,344
Russian Federation	1,838,039				1,838,039
Slovak Republic	85,218				85,218
Slovenia	137,017	137,017			0
Spain	4,210,779				4,210,779
Sweden	1,667,602				1,667,602
Switzerland	2,000,120		14,844		1,985,276
Tajikistan	1,671				1,671
Ukraine	65,167				65,167
United Kingdom	10,237,875				10,237,875
United States of America	29,362,667				29,362,667
Uzbekistan	23,393				23,393
TOTAL	**133,466,667**	**10,913,585**	**2,262,842**	**0**	**120,290,239**

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 5 : Status of Contributions for 2006

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	2,660,143	2,660,143	129,950		(129,950)
Austria	1,435,341	1,435,341			0
Azerbaijan	8,355				8,355
Belarus	30,077				30,077
Belgium	1,786,239	1,786,239			0
Bulgaria	28,406	28,406			0
Canada	4,700,366	4,095,934	407,365		197,067
Cyprus	65,167	6,077			59,090
Czech Republic	305,783	305,783			0
Denmark	1,199,738	1,199,738			0
Estonia	20,051	20,051			0
Finland	890,613	890,613			0
France	10,075,793		675,400	9,342,968	57,425
Germany	14,473,719	2,412,286	2,894,744	12,061,432	(2,894,744)
Greece	885,600				885,600
Hungary	210,539	210,539			0
Iceland	56,812	56,812			0
Ireland	584,830	584,830			0
Israel	780,331				780,331
Italy	8,162,562	6,530,044			1,632,518
Japan	29,362,667	29,362,667			0
Latvia	25,064	25,064			0
Liechtenstein	8,355	8,355			0
Lithuania	40,103				40,103
Luxembourg	128,663	128,663			0
Malta	23,393	23,393			0
Monaco	5,013	5,013			0
Netherlands	2,823,896	3,400,000			(576,104)
New Zealand	369,279	369,279			0
Norway	1,134,571	1,134,571			0
Poland	770,305	770,305			0
Portugal	785,344				785,344
Russian Federation	1,838,039				1,838,039
Slovak Republic	85,218	85,218			0
Slovenia	137,017	137,017			0
Spain	4,210,779	4,215,179			(4,400)
Sweden	1,667,602	1,667,602			0
Switzerland	2,000,120	1,603,345	400,024		(3,249)
Tajikistan	1,671				1,671
Ukraine	65,167				65,167
United Kingdom	10,237,875				10,237,875
United States of America	29,362,667				29,362,667
Uzbekistan	23,393				23,393
TOTAL	**133,466,667**	**65,158,510**	**4,507,483**	**21,404,400**	**42,396,274**

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 6 : Status of Contributions for 2003 - 2005

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	9,452,417	9,402,711	49,707	0	(1)
Austria	5,498,540	5,498,540	0	0	0
Azerbaijan	23,055	0	0	0	23,055
Belarus	109,510	0	0	0	109,510
Belgium	6,559,055	6,559,055	0	0	(0)
Bulgaria	74,928	74,928	0	0	0
Canada	14,864,502	13,885,511	1,496,257	0	(517,267)
Czech Republic	991,351	925,261	66,090	0	0
Denmark	4,351,570	4,351,570	0	0	0
Estonia	57,637	57,636	0	0	0
Finland	3,031,690	3,031,690	0	0	0
France	37,556,066	32,625,062	4,987,704	0	(56,701)
Germany	56,743,319	38,459,361	11,348,664	6,935,295	(1)
Greece	3,129,672	1,541,507	0	0	1,588,165
Hungary	697,404	650,910	46,494	0	(0)
Iceland	190,201	190,201	0	0	0
Ireland	1,711,810	1,711,809	0	0	0
Israel	2,409,214	70,024	0	0	2,339,190
Italy	29,417,765	24,947,765	4,470,000	0	0
Japan	104,280,000	92,411,013	11,868,987	0	0
Latvia	57,637	57,636	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	34,582	34,582	0	0	0
Lithuania	97,982	0	0	0	97,982
Luxembourg	461,093	461,093	0	0	0
Monaco	23,055	23,075	0	0	(20)
Netherlands	10,092,184	10,092,184	0	0	0
New Zealand	1,400,572	1,400,572	0	0	0
Norway	3,757,912	3,757,912	0	0	0
Poland	1,838,610	1,838,610	0	0	0
Portugal	2,685,870	580,732	101,700	0	2,003,437
Russian Federation	6,916,402	0	0	0	6,916,402
Slovak Republic	247,838	231,315	16,523	0	(0)
Slovenia	466,857	466,857	0	0	0
Spain	14,633,955	13,042,273	1,587,282	0	4,400
Sweden	5,965,397	5,229,610	735,787	0	(0)
Switzerland	7,342,914	6,653,986	978,943	0	(290,015)
Tajikistan	5,764	0	0	0	5,764
Turkmenistan	17,291	5,764	0	0	11,527
Ukraine	305,474	0	0	0	305,474
United Kingdom	32,155,508	32,155,509	0	0	(1)
United States of America	104,280,000	79,708,262	10,750,000	2,079,700	11,742,038
Uzbekistan	63,400	21,133	0	0	42,267
TOTAL	**474,000,000**	**392,155,658**	**48,504,138**	**9,014,995**	**24,325,209**

The credit balance of Canada is being used to reduce its 2006 contribution. The net amount due is reflected in Table 3: 1991 -2006 Summary Status of Contributions.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 7 : Status of Contributions for 2005

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	3,150,806	3,150,806			0
Austria	1,832,847	1,832,847			0
Azerbaijan	7,685				7,685
Belarus	36,503				36,503
Belgium	2,186,352	2,186,352			0
Bulgaria	24,976	24,976			0
Canada	4,954,834	5,071,627	400,473	0	(517,266)
Czech Republic	330,450	330,450			0
Denmark	1,450,523	1,450,523			0
Estonia	19,212	19,212			0
Finland	1,010,563	1,010,563			0
France	12,518,689	9,924,993	2,685,021	0	(91,325)
Germany	18,914,440	3,782,888	3,782,888	3,782,888	7,565,776
Greece	1,043,224				1,043,224
Hungary	232,468	232,468			0
Iceland	63,400	63,400			0
Ireland	570,603	570,603			0
Israel	803,071				803,071
Italy	9,805,922	9,258,291	547,631		0
Japan	34,760,000	27,591,193	7,168,807		0
Latvia	19,212	19,212			0
Liechtenstein	11,527	11,527			0
Lithuania	32,661				32,661
Luxembourg	153,698	153,698			0
Monaco	7,685	7,685			0
Netherlands	3,364,061	3,364,061		0	0
New Zealand	466,857	466,857			0
Norway	1,252,637	1,252,637			0
Poland	612,870	612,870			0
Portugal	895,290		101,700		793,590
Russian Federation	2,305,467				2,305,467
Slovak Republic	82,613	82,613			0
Slovenia	155,619	155,619			0
Spain	4,877,985	4,082,144	791,441		4,400
Sweden	1,988,466	2,048,070	92,608		(152,212)
Switzerland	2,447,638	2,447,638	290,015		(290,015)
Tajikistan	1,921				1,921
Turkmenistan	5,764				5,764
Ukraine	101,825				101,825
United Kingdom	10,718,503	10,718,503			0
United States of America	34,760,000	17,642,962	5,375,000		11,742,038
Uzbekistan	21,133				21,133
TOTAL	**158,000,000**	**109,567,288**	**21,235,584**	**3,782,888**	**23,414,240**

The credit balance of Canada is being used to reduce its 2006 contribution. The net amount due is reflected in Table 3: 1991 -2006 Summary Status of Contributions.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 8 : Status of Contributions for 2004

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	3,150,806	3,150,806			(0)
Austria	1,832,847	1,832,847			0
Azerbaijan	7,685	0			7,685
Belarus	36,503	0			36,503
Belgium	2,186,352	2,186,352			0
Bulgaria	24,976	24,976			0
Canada	4,954,834	4,667,509	287,325	0	(0)
Czech Republic	330,450	330,450			0
Denmark	1,450,523	1,450,523			0
Estonia	19,212	19,212			0
Finland	1,010,563	1,010,563			0
France	12,518,689	10,216,006	2,302,683	0	0
Germany	18,914,440	15,762,033	3,782,888	3,152,407	(3,782,888)
Greece	1,043,224	0			1,043,224
Hungary	232,468	232,468			0
Iceland	63,400	63,400			0
Ireland	570,603	570,603			0
Israel	803,071				803,071
Italy*	9,805,922	7,844,737	1,961,185		0
Japan	34,760,000	30,098,098	4,661,902		0
Latvia	19,212	19,212			0
Liechtenstein	11,527	11,527			0
Lithuania	32,661	0			32,661
Luxembourg	153,698	153,698			0
Monaco	7,685	7,685			0
Netherlands	3,364,061	3,364,061		0	0
New Zealand	466,857	466,857			0
Norway	1,252,637	1,252,637			0
Poland	612,870	612,870			0
Portugal	895,290	0			895,290
Russian Federation	2,305,467	0			2,305,467
Slovak Republic	82,613	82,613			0
Slovenia	155,619	155,619			0
Spain	4,877,985	4,082,144	795,841		(0)
Sweden	1,988,466	1,590,768	302,915		94,783
Switzerland	2,447,638	1,758,710	688,928		0
Tajikistan	1,921	0			1,921
Turkmenistan	5,764	5,764			0
Ukraine	101,825	0			101,825
United Kingdom	10,718,503	10,718,503		0	0
United States of America	34,760,000	27,305,300	5,375,000	2,079,700	0
Uzbekistan	21,133	0			21,133
TOTAL	**158,000,000**	**131,048,549**	**20,158,667**	**5,232,107**	**1,560,678**

* Italy's bilateral cooperation amount was approved at the 46th meeting in 2005

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 9 : Status of Contributions for 2003

As at 16 March 2007

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	3,150,806	3,101,099	49,707	0	(0)
Austria	1,832,847	1,832,847	0	0	0
Azerbaijan	7,685	0	0	0	7,685
Belarus	36,503		0	0	36,503
Belgium	2,186,352	2,186,352	0	0	(0)
Bulgaria	24,976	24,976	0	0	0
Canada	4,954,834	4,146,375	808,459	0	(0)
Czech Republic	330,450	264,360	66,090	0	0
Denmark	1,450,523	1,450,523	0	0	0
Estonia	19,212	19,212	0	0	0
Finland	1,010,563	1,010,563	0		0
France	12,518,689	12,484,064	0	0	34,625
Germany	18,914,440	18,914,440	3,782,888	0	(3,782,888)
Greece	1,043,224	1,541,507	0	0	(498,283)
Hungary	232,468	185,974	46,494	0	0
Iceland	63,400	63,400	0	0	0
Ireland	570,603	570,603	0	0	0
Israel	803,071	70,024	0	0	733,047
Italy*	9,805,922	7,844,737	1,961,185	0	0
Japan	34,760,000	34,721,722	38,278	0	0
Latvia	19,212	19,212	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	11,527	11,527	0	0	0
Lithuania	32,661	0	0	0	32,661
Luxembourg	153,698	153,698	0	0	0
Monaco	7,685	7,705	0	0	(20)
Netherlands	3,364,061	3,364,061	0	0	0
New Zealand	466,857	466,857	0	0	0
Norway	1,252,637	1,252,637	0	0	0
Poland	612,870	612,870	0	0	0
Portugal	895,290	580,732	0	0	314,558
Russian Federation	2,305,467	0	0	0	2,305,467
Slovak Republic	82,613	66,090	16,523	0	0
Slovenia	155,619	155,619	0	0	0
Spain	4,877,985	4,877,985	0	0	0
Sweden	1,988,466	1,590,773	340,264		57,429
Switzerland	2,447,638	2,447,638	0	0	0
Tajikistan	1,921	0	0	0	1,921
Turkmenistan	5,764	0	0	0	5,764
Ukraine	101,825	0	0	0	101,825
United Kingdom	10,718,503	10,718,503	0	0	(0)
United States of America	34,760,000	34,760,000	0	0	0
Uzbekistan	21,133	21,133	0	0	0
TOTAL	**158,000,001**	**151,539,820**	**7,109,888**	**0**	**(649,707)**

* Italy's bilateral cooperation amount was approved at the 46th meeting in 2005.

Table 10

Status of Promissory Notes As At 16 March 2007

B. MULTILATERAL FUND'S PROMISSORY NOTES

Country	HELD BY			IMPLEMENTING AGENCY FOR WHICH HELD OR ASSIGNED TO					
	A WORLD BANK	B TREASURER	C= A+B TOTAL	D UNDP	E UNEP	F UNIDO	G WORLD BANK	H TREASURER	D+E+F+G+H=I I=C TOTAL
	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value
Canada			0					0	0
France		9,342,968	9,342,968					9,342,968	9,342,968
Germany		18,996,727	18,996,727					18,996,727	18,996,727
The Netherlands			0					0	0
United Kingdom			0					0	0
United States of America		2,079,700	2,079,700					2,079,700	2,079,700
TOTAL	0	30,419,395	30,419,395	0	0	0	0	30,419,395	30,419,395

Table 11: 2004 - 2007 Ledger of Promissory Notes

RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	**Year of contribution**	**Country of Origin**	**P/Note code**	**Denomination/ Type of currency**	**Amount (in Original denomination)**	**Note Value in USD per UNEP b/**	**Date of transfer**	**Agency**	**Transfer amount in Original denomination**	**Date of Encashment**	**Actual Encashment value (USD)**	**Gain /(Loss) to intended value (USD)**
10/25/2004	2004	**Canada**		Can$	6,216,532.80	3,963,867.12	11/9/2004	IBRD	6,216,532.80	1/19/2005	5,140,136.76	1,176,269.64
4/21/2005	2005	**Canada**		Can$	6,216,532.78	3,963,867.12	Nov. 2005	TREASURER	6,216,532.78	Nov. 2005	5,307,831.95	1,343,964.83
12/22/2006	2006	**Canada**		Can$	4,794,373.31	3,760,292.79	1/19/2007	TREASURER	4,794,373.31	1/19/2007	4,088,320.38	328,027.59
12/31/2004	2004	**France**		Euro	10,597,399.70	9,784,322.50	9/28/2006	TREASURER	10,597,399.70	9/28/2006	12,102,125.26	2,317,802.76
1/18/2006	2005	**France**		Euro	11,217,315.23	10,356,675.50	9/28/2006	TREASURER	11,217,315.23	9/28/2006	12,810,062.64	2,453,387.14
12/20/2006	2006	**France**		Euro	7,503,239.54	**9,342,968.43**	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**7,503,239.54**			
8/9/2004	2004	**Germany**	BU 104 1006 01	US$	18,914,439.57	18,914,439.57	8/3/2005	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	8/3/2005	6,304,813.19	-
							8/11/2006	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	8/11/2006	6,304,813.19	-
							2/16/2007	TREASURER	3,152,406.60	2/16/2007	3,152,406.60	-
						3,152,406.59	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**3,152,406.59**			
									18,914,439.57			
7/8/2005	2005	**Germany**	BU 105 1003 01	US$	7,565,775.83	7,565,775.83	4/18/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	4/18/2006	1,260,962.64	-
							8/11/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	8/11/2006	1,260,962.64	-
							2/16/2007	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	2/16/2007	1,260,962.64	-
						3,782,887.91	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**3,782,887.91**			
									7,565,775.83			
5/10/2006	2006	**Germany**	BU 106 1004 01	Euro	11,662,922.38	14,473,718.52						
						2,412,286.41	2/28/2007	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/28/2007	2,558,067.65	145,781.24
						12,061,432.11	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**9,719,101.98**			
									11,662,922.38			
12/8/2003	2004	**Netherlands**	D 11	US$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	11/17/2004	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	11/17/2004	3,364,061.32	-
12/8/2003	2005	**Netherlands**	D 11	US$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	12/5/2005	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	12/5/2005	3,364,061.32	-

Table 11: 2004 - 2007 Ledger of Promissory Notes

RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP b/	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain /(Loss) to intended value (USD)
5/18/2004	2004	**UK**		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63						
						1,786,417.11	8/23/2005	TREASURER	1,207,260.68	8/23/2005	2,166,550.02	380,132.91
						5,359,251.32	Feb. 2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	Feb. 2006	6,303,711.64	944,460.32
						3,572,834.20	7/24/2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	7/24/2006	4,473,383.73	900,549.53
						10,718,502.63			7,243,564.08		12,943,645.39	2,225,142.76
6/1/2005	2005	**UK**		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63						
						1,786,417.11	7/24/2006	TREASURER	1,207,260.68	7/24/2006	2,236,691.86	450,274.75
						4,681,386.55	8/9/2006	TREASURER	3,163,681.03	8/9/2006	6,036,303.40	1,354,916.85
						4,250,698.97	8/16/2006	TREASURER	2,872,622.37	8/16/2006	5,429,236.28	1,178,537.31
						10,718,502.63			7,243,564.08		13,702,231.54	2,983,728.91
5/13/2005	2004	**USA**		US$	4,920,000.00	4,920,000.00	10/27/2005	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	10/27/2005	2,000,000.00	-
							11/2/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	11/2/2006	2,000,000.00	-
						920,000.00	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**920,000.00**			
									4,920,000.00			
3/1/2006	2005	**USA**		US$	3,159,700.00	3,159,700.00	11/2/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	11/2/2006	2,000,000.00	-
						1,159,700.00	**BALANCE**	**TREASURER**	**1,159,700.00**			
									3,159,700.00			

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

OUTSTANDING PROMISSORY NOTES SCHEDULE OF ENCASHMENT AS AT 16 MARCH 2007
(IN US$)

	Due in 2007	Due in 2008	Due in 2009	Unscheduled	TOTAL
GERMANY:					
2004 P. Note: (US$)	3,152,407				3,152,407
2005 P. Note: (US$)	1,260,963	2,521,925			3,782,888
2006 P. Note: (in US $ at FERM rate of US $1:Euro 0.8058)					
	2,412,286	4,824,573	4,824,573		12,061,432
USA:					
2005 Note: (US$)	920,000				920,000
2006 Note: (US$)	1,159,700				1,159,700
USA's notes are both due in November 2007.					
FRANCE:					
2006 P. Note: (in US $ at FERM rate of US $1:Euro 0.8058)				9,342,968	9,342,968
	8,905,356	7,346,498	4,824,573	9,342,968	30,419,395

NOTE:
For the triennium 2003 - 2005, Germany opted to pay in US $.
For the triennium 2006 - 2008, Germany opted to pay in Euro, using the FERM.
Germany's annual payment are made in two tranches, February and August.

LIST OF COUNTRIES WHICH AS AT 16 MARCH 2007 HAVE CONFIRMED TO THE TREASURER THAT THEY WOULD BE USING THE FIXED-EXCHANGE-RATE MECHANISM DURING THE 2006 – 2008 TRIENNIUM

1. Australia
2. Austria
3. Belgium
4. Canada
5. Germany
6. Hungary
7. Latvia
8. United Kingdom
9. France
10. Greece
11. Slovak Republic
12. Switzerland
13. Sweden
14. Finland
15. Denmark
16. Spain

Annex II

2007 BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNDP

Item	2007 Target
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	45
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS) approved versus those planned	22
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	20
ODS phased-out for individual projects versus those planned per progress reports	1,229 ODP tonnes
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	60
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	4/6 (67%)
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	On time
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

Annex III

2007 BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNEP

Item	2007 Target
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	35 (9 tranches of approved MYA and 26 new MYA)
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS) approved versus those planned	30
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	9
ODS phased-out for individual projects versus those planned per progress reports	0
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	86
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	64 countries or 100% of countries listed in Annex I either received assistance or assistance was offered
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	On time
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

Annex IV

2007 BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNIDO

Item	2007 Target
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	32
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS) approved versus those planned	22
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	20
ODS phased-out for individual projects vs. those planned per progress reports	346.2 ODP tonnes
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	20
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	11
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	12 months after operational completion
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

Annex V

2007 BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR THE WORLD BANK

Item	2007 Target
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	19[(1)]
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS) approved versus those planned	4
Milestone activities completed (e.g. policy measures, regulatory assistance)/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	18
ODS phased-out for individual projects versus those planned per progress reports	1,334 ODP tonnes
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	10[(2)]
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	9/9
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	11 months
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	100%
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	100%

[(1)] Includes one annual programme of new multi-year projects expected to be approved by the Executive Committee in 2007.
[(2)] Represents the number of projects expected to be completed in 2007, which will lead to an expected phase-out of 1,334 ODP tonnes.

DRAFT OVERVIEW TABLES FOR MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENTS
A5 Example country

(1) PROJECT TITLE: National ODS phase-out plan

(2) EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE APPROVALS AND PROVISIONS

CODE	AGENCY	EXCOM PROVISION	Fulfilled	According to (Agency/ Country/ Verification/ Secretariat/ ExCom)
XY/PHA/39/INV/10	UN Agency	Approval	Yes	ExCom
XY/PHA/39/TAS/05	UN Agency	The sub-project should not commence until the legislation controlling CFC imports is in place and measures have been taken to ensure that the local market prices of CFCs and non-ODS refrigerants are similar. Also, the subproject is approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism dealing with non-compliance issues.	Yes	Verification
XY/PHA/39/TAS/08	UN Agency	Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanisms dealing with non-compliance issues.	n/a	n/a
XY/PHA/39/TAS/09	UN Agency	Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanisms dealing with non-compliance issues.	n/a	n/a
XY/PHA/46/INV/11	UN Agency	Approved on the understanding that the licensing and quota system would be implemented with the utmost urgency, and without prejudice to the operation of th Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance	no	Agency
XY/PHA/50/INV/14	UN Agency		n/a	n/a
XY/PHA/39/TRA/06	Other IA/BA	Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanisms dealing with non-compliance issues.	n/a	n/a
XY/PHA/39/TRA/07	Other IA/BA	Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanisms dealing with non-compliance issues.	n/a	n/a

Source: Inventory

(3) ARTICLE 7 DATA (ODP TONNES)

Chemical	Baseline	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
CFC	40.8	40.3	40.1	41.9	46.5	53.1	61.9	68.8	49.9	35.0	36.6	14.3
CTC	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.3	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
Halons	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MBR	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TCA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0

Source: A7 Data from the Ozone Secretariat

(4) LATEST COUNTRY PROGRAMME SECTORAL DATA (ODP TONNES)

Year: 2005

Chemical	Aerosol	Foam	Halon	Refrigeration		Solvent	Process Agent	MDI	Lab Use	Methyl Bromide		Tobacco Fluffing	Total
				Manufacturing	Servicing					QPS	Non-QPS		
CFC					36.0								36.0
CTC													0.0
Halons													0.0
MBR													0.0
TCA													0.0

Source: Country Programme Data

(5) PHASE-OUT (ODP TONNES)

Calendar year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Total	Decision
Maximum Allowable ODS Consumption (Agreement; per substance if valid)	68.0	61.2	36.2	15.2	6.2	2.2	0.0		
Compliance Action Target (MOP)	68.0	61.2	36.2	15.2	6.2	2.2	0.0		Decision XV/26
Consumption Reported in implementation report submitted	42.0	36.6	14.3						
Consumption Reported in the Verification Report	42.0	36.6	14.3						
Reduction Under Plan		6.8	25.0	21.0	9.0	4.0	2.2	68.0	
Approved Phase-Out (Inventory)	9.1		25.0	21.0				55.1	
Actual Phase-Out (2005 PR)	9.1		25.0					34.1	
Remaining Phase-Out to be Achieved									

Source: Agreement, Inventory, Progress Report, MOP Report, Project Document (Annual Plan) and Verification Reports.

(6a) PROJECT COSTS (US$)

Calendar year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Total
UN Agency								
Funding as per Agreement	181,068	172,322	72,322	42,322	22,322	22,322	22,322	535,000
Funds approved (Inventory)	181,068		172,322	72,322				425,712
Estimated Disbursement in Previous Progress Repor	85,001		0	0				85,001
Funds Disbursed in Current Progress Report	66,969		0	0				66,969
Funds Obligated in Current Progress Report	21,576		0	0				21,576
Estimated Disbursement in Current Progress Report	54,955		30,000	0				84,955
Disbursement as per Annual Plan								
[Comments]								
Other IA/BA								
Funding as per Agreement	118,125							118,125
Funds approved (Inventory)	118,125							118,125
Estimated Disbursement in Previous Progress Repor	63,314							63,314
Funds Disbursed in Current Progress Report	64,440							64,440
Funds Obligated in Current Progress Report	53,685							53,685
Estimated Disbursement in Current Progress Report	53,685							53,685
Disbursement as per Annual Plan								
[Comments]								

Source: Agreement, Inventory, Progress Reports and Project Document (Annual Plan)

(6b) SUBMISSION SCHEDULES (planned and actual)

Submission year as per agreement	2003	2004	2005	2006
Planned submission as per Agreement	Apr-03	Apr-04	Apr-05	Apr-06
Tranche Number	I	II	III	
Revised Planned Submission (As per Submission Delays Decisions)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Date Approved	Apr-03	Jul-05	Nov-06	n/a

Source: Agreement, Inventory and Final ExCom Report Decisions

(7) INFORMATION ON POLICIES FROM COUNTRY PROGRAMME AND VERIFICATION REPORTS

	Last year covered by tranche report submitted	
	Country Programme	**Verification Report**
Establishing general guidelines to control import (production and export) of ODS		
ODS Import/Export licensing or permit system in place of bulk ODSs	Yes	
Regulatory procedures for ODS data collection and reporting in place	Yes	
Requiring permits for import or sale of bulk ODSs	Yes	
Quota system in place for import of bulk ODSs	Yes	
Banning import or sale of bulk quantities of:		
CFCs	Yes	
Halons	No	
Other ODSs (CTC, TCA, methyl bromide)	Yes	
Banning import or sale of:		
Used domestic refrigerators or freezers using CFC	Yes	
MAC systems using CFC	Yes	
Air conditioners and chillers using CFC	Yes	
CFC-containing aerosols except for metered dose inhalers	Yes	
Use of CFC in production of some or all types of foam	Yes	
Enforcement of ODS import controls		
Registration of ODS importers	Yes	
Qualitative assessment of the operation of RMP		
The ODS import licensing scheme functions	Very well	
The CFC recovery and recycling programme functions	Not so well	

Source: Country Programme and Verification Report

(8) IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

	Completed tranche covered by report submitted								Tranche currently implemented (preliminary data)				
	Activities			**Budget**				**Explanations**	**Activities**		**Budget**		**Explanations**
	Planned (annual)	**Actual (annual)**	**Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]***	**Planned (annual)**	**Actual (annual)**	**Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]***	**Carryover**		**Planned**	**Actual**	**Planned**	**Actual**	
Customs Training				23,600	19,500								
Train the Trainers	30	30											
Training of Customs Officers	At least 68	80											
Good Practices in Refrigeration				60,000	62,000						0		
Train the Trainers	20	32											
Training of Technicians by Trained Trainers	600	300							300	271			
Strengthening vocational schools									Yes				
Refrigeration Service investment component											45,000		
Recovery & Recycling, establish R&R Centers									3				
Service equipment supply other than R&R									0				
Conversion, …									0				
Solvent Phase-Out Project	2.3 ODP tonnes	0		45,000	0				2.3 ODP tonnes	2.3 ODP tonnes	45,000	32,080	The project is completed
Methyl Bromide Component				20,000	18,026								
Methyl Bromide Workshop	1	1											
PMU & Monitoring				10,000	12,500								
Unforeseen Activities													

*Refers to latest revision of overall plan

(9) Annual plan submitted compared to overall plan

	Activities		Budget		Explanations
	Planned (future tranche)	Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]*	Planned (future tranche)	Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]*	
Customs Training			23,600		
Train the Trainers	60				
Training of Customs Officers	At least 100				
Good Practices in Refrigeration			60,000		
Train the Trainers	40				
Training of Technicians by Trained Trainers	300				
Strengthening vocational schools					
Refrigeration Service investment component					
Recovery & Recycling, establish R&R Centers					
Service equipment supply other than R&R					
Conversion, …					
Solvent Phase-Out Project	2.3 ODP tonnes		45,000		
Methyl Bromide Component			20,000		
Methyl Bromide Workshop	1				
PMU & Monitoring			10,000		
Unforeseen Activities					

*Refers to latest revision of overall plan

(10) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Narrative Executive Summary of 255 characters that assesses for the MYA what results have been achieved in the year compared to the annual and the overall plan and what milestones are planned for the following yea
This would be similar to the information included in the “Remarks” Column of the annual progress report and the remarks associated with implementation delays.
It would also highlight implementation difficulties encountered and actions planned to overcome them

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
ALGERIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
ODS phase out plan						
Project preparation for an ODS phase-out management plan	UNIDO		$15,000	$1,125	$16,125	
Approved on the understanding that this amount represents final funding for project preparation for a complete CFC phase-out plan.						
Total for Algeria			**$15,000**	**$1,125**	**$16,125**	
ANGOLA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Project preparation for a terminal phase-out management plan	UNEP		$18,000	$2,340	$20,340	
Approved on the condition that: funding would not be disbursed, until such time as an official commitment in writing to ratify the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol had been received by the Secretariat from the Government of Angola; no further funding would be considered for Angola until the country had ratified the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol. In developing and subsequently implementing the TPMP the agency should take into account decision 47/10 (e) regarding the inclusion in licensing systems of import controls for methyl bromide, CTC and/or TCA, as well as other CFCs.						
Project preparation for a terminal phase-out management plan in the servicing sector	UNDP		$12,000	$900	$12,900	
Approved on the condition that: funding would not be disbursed, until such time as an official commitment in writing to ratify the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol had been received by the Secretariat from the Government of Angola; no further funding would be considered for Angola until the country had ratified the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol. In developing and subsequently implementing the TPMP the agency should take into account decision 47/10 (e) regarding the inclusion in licensing systems of import controls for methyl bromide, CTC and/or TCA, as well as other CFCs.						
Total for Angola			**$30,000**	**$3,240**	**$33,240**	
BAHAMAS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Terminal phase-out management plan: 2006-2007 biennial programme	IBRD	25.0	$120,000	$15,600	$135,600	
Approved on the condition that: no funds would be disbursed by the World Bank until the World Bank had submitted the following documentation to the Secretariat: (i) a verification report for 2006 detailing the legal and institutional set up of the import and export control regime and how it was implemented, as well as verifying the 2006 consumption data; (ii) a verification report for, as a minimum, the first eight months of the year 2007, showing that within that time frame there had been no consumption of CFCs in the Bahamas; (iii) an implementation report for the 2006/2007 tranche; and further details on the utilization of the amount of US $144,000 disbursed from the second tranche of funding. The World Bank agreed to deliver such reports not later than the 54th Meeting of the Executive Committee.						

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
Total for Bahamas		**25.0**	**$120,000**	**$15,600**	**$135,600**	
BOLIVIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
ODS phase out plan						
Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	UNDP	0.1	$151,000	$11,325	$162,325	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. The agencies were urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP.						
Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	Canada		$79,000	$10,270	$89,270	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. The agencies were urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP.						
Total for Bolivia		**0.1**	**$230,000**	**$21,595**	**$251,595**	
CHILE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening (phase VII)	UNDP	15.4	$186,550	$13,991	$200,541	
Total for Chile		**15.4**	**$186,550**	**$13,991**	**$200,541**	
CHINA						
AEROSOL						
Sectoral phase out plan						
Phase-out of CFC consumption in the pharmaceutical aerosol sector (2007-2008 biennial programme)	IBRD	485.1	$6,000,000	$450,000	$6,450,000	12.37
Approved on the understanding that no more funding would be approved for new sector plans for the phase-out of CFC consumption in China, excluding the MDI sub-sector.						
PROCESS AGENT						
Sectoral phase out plan						
Phase-out of the production and consumption of CTC for process agent and other non-identified uses (phase I): 2007 annual programme	IBRD		$5,000,000	$375,000	$5,375,000	
Note: Phase-out of 6,642 ODP tonnes of CTC production The Committee took note of the results of the survey by the World Bank of CTC consumption in process agent applications listed in Table A-bis of decision XVII/8 of the 17th Meeting of the Parties and in potential process agent applications which had been newly identified and reviewed by the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) but had yet to be considered by the Parties for inclusion in the list of controlled substances as process agents, in accordance with decision X/14 of the 10th Meeting of the Parties. The Committee also considered the need to reconfirm the ceiling of 14,300 ODP tonnes set in the agreement for those applications at the 52nd Meeting of the Executive Committee; and requested the World Bank to provide reasons for over 1,496.1 ODP tonnes of CTC production not accounted for in the results of the verification of CTC production and consumption for process agent uses in 2006 in Phase I as part of the verification of CTC consumption in Phase II of the sector plan due for the 52nd Meeting.						

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
PRODUCTION						
CFC closure						
Sector plan for CFC production phase-out: 2007 annual programme	IBRD		$24,000,000	$1,800,000	$25,800,000	
Note: A total of 6,100 ODP tonnes of CFCs in the production sector will be phased out. The Government of China was requested to ensure that CFC plants are being adequately maintained for safety and emission control prior to full closure, and that the dismantling of these plants will be carried out in an environmentally responsible manner in 2007. The Committee took note of the report on the China 2006 CFC export, with the understanding that it does not constitute the verification of China's CFC exports for 2006, as it has not been validated against the official data from the General Administration of Customs for 2006.						
REFRIGERATION						
Sectoral phase out plan						
Refrigeration servicing sector CFC phase-out plan (third tranche)	UNIDO	782.0	$700,000	$52,500	$752,500	
Total for China		**1,267.1**	**$35,700,000**	**$2,677,500**	**$38,377,500**	

COMOROS

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		$60,000	$0	$60,000	
Total for Comoros			**$60,000**		**$60,000**	

CONGO

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		$60,000	$0	$60,000	
Total for Congo			**$60,000**		**$60,000**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)

CONGO, DR

SOLVENT

Sectoral phase out plan

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
Umbrella project for terminal phase-out of ODS in the solvent sector (first phase)	Italy	9.0	$288,440	$37,497	$325,937	16.33

Approved in principle at a level of $432,660 plus agency support costs of $56,246 on the understanding that this project will address all of the remaining CTC and TCA consumption in the country, as well as all of the CFC consumption in the solvent sector, and that the country will not request any more funding for activities to phase-out CFCs, CTC and TCA. The Government of Italy was requested to submit a request for the second phase when implementation of the first phase has begun and equipment supply activities have commenced at companies representing at least 13.4 ODP tonnes of consumption, which amounts to 50% of the funded phase-out. This request will be contingent on the submission of a report on the implementation of the first tranche and detailed information about the planned second phase. The Government of Italy was also requested to include in this report, as a minimum, information on the companies addressed in the first phase, such as name and address, exact specification (name, manufacturer, year) of the baseline equipment to be replaced, solvent consumption as verified by Italy and exact use of the solvent, as well as the level of support and status of the implementation in those companies. The plan for the second phase should identify by name and address the remaining enterprises to be supported by investment activities, as well as for each one the exact use of the solvent, the quantity used, information on baseline equipment, and support intended to be given. The level of support for each company in both phases should remain below the applicable cost-effectiveness thresholds, and should take into account the established eligibility criteria.

	Total for Congo, DR	**9.0**	**$288,440**	**$37,497**	**$325,937**	

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

HALON

Banking

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
National halon bank management plan update	UNDP	1.2	$20,000	$1,800	$21,800	

Approved US$20,000 for the purchase of equipment on the understanding that the remaining balance of US$4,000 could be submitted for consideration at a future meeting on the condition that it included a business plan, to be approved by the Executive Committee, for the sustainability of the operation of the halon bank..

PHASE-OUT PLAN

CFC phase out plan

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
Terminal phase-out plan for Annex A (Group I) substances: (third tranche)	UNDP		$400,000	$30,000	$430,000	5.50
	Total for Dominican Republic	**1.2**	**$420,000**	**$31,800**	**$451,800**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
ECUADOR						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV, first year)	IBRD		$88,400	$6,630	$95,030	
Approved for one year only and without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance.						
Total for Ecuador			**$88,400**	**$6,630**	**$95,030**	
EGYPT						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	UNIDO	18.9	$228,323	$17,124	$245,447	
Total for Egypt		**18.9**	**$228,323**	**$17,124**	**$245,447**	
ETHIOPIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Project preparation for a terminal phase-out management plan in the servicing sector	France		$30,000	$3,900	$33,900	
Approved on the understanding that funding would not be disbursed, until such time as official commitment in writing to ratify the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol had been received by the Secretariat from the Government of Ethiopia; and no further funding would be considered for Ethiopia until the country had ratified the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol. In developing and subsequently implementing the TPMP the agency should take into account decision 47/10(e) regarding the inclusion in licensing systems of import controls for methyl bromide, CTC and/or TCA, as well as other CFCs.						
Total for Ethiopia			**$30,000**	**$3,900**	**$33,900**	
GEORGIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		$60,667	$0	$60,667	
Total for Georgia			**$60,667**		**$60,667**	
HONDURAS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Project preparation for a terminal phase-out management plan	UNIDO		$15,000	$1,125	$16,125	
Approved on the understanding that, in developing and subsequently implementing the TPMP, UNIDO should take into account decision 47/10(e) regarding the inclusion in licensing systems of import controls for MB, CTC and/or TCA, as well as CFCs.						

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
Project preparation for a terminal phase-out management plan	UNEP		$15,000	$1,950	$16,950	
Approved on the understanding that, in developing and subsequently implementing the TPMP, UNEP should take into account decision 47/10(e) regarding the inclusion in licensing systems of import controls for MB, CTC and/or TCA, as well as CFCs.						
Total for Honduras			**$30,000**	**$3,075**	**$33,075**	
INDIA						
PRODUCTION						
CFC closure						
CFC production sector gradual phase-out: 2007 annual implementation plan	IBRD		$6,000,000	$450,000	$6,450,000	
Phase-out of 3,953.0 ODP tonnes of CFCs in the production sector.						
Total for India			**$6,000,000**	**$450,000**	**$6,450,000**	
INDONESIA						
FOAM						
Multiple-subsectors						
Phase-out of residual CFCs in the foam sector (third tranche)	IBRD	156.0	$147,564	$11,067	$158,631	
REFRIGERATION						
MAC						
Phase-out of CFCs in the refrigeration sector (MAC) (fifth tranche)	IBRD	110.0	$125,800	$10,002	$135,802	
Multiple-subsectors						
Phase-out of CFCs in the refrigeration sector (manufacturing) (fifth tranche)	UNDP	300.0	$217,000	$19,530	$236,530	
Phase-out of CFCs in the refrigeration sector (servicing) (fifth tranche)	UNDP	322.0	$159,555	$13,160	$172,715	
Total for Indonesia		**888.0**	**$649,919**	**$53,759**	**$703,678**	
IRAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 annual implementation programme	UNIDO		$36,179	$2,713	$38,892	
National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 annual implementation programme	Germany	250.3	$920,868	$101,295	$1,022,163	6.59
Total for Iran		**250.3**	**$957,047**	**$104,008**	**$1,061,055**	
KOREA, DPR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Implementation of the NPP: regulations, training programme and monitoring (third tranche)	UNEP	18.0	$100,000	$13,000	$113,000	
Total for Korea, DPR		**18.0**	**$100,000**	**$13,000**	**$113,000**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
LIBERIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase II)	UNEP		$85,213	$0	$85,213	
Total for Liberia			**$85,213**		**$85,213**	
MEXICO						
FUMIGANT						
Methyl bromide						
Project preparation in the fumigant sector *Approved on the understanding that this will address the complete phase out of all remaining MB uses in the country with an accelerated schedule, and that no further project preparation funding will be requested for the MB sector.*	UNIDO		$60,000	$4,500	$64,500	
Total for Mexico			**$60,000**	**$4,500**	**$64,500**	
MONTENEGRO						
SEVERAL						
Country programme/country survey						
Project preparation for a country programme and a terminal phase-out plan	UNIDO		$40,000	$3,600	$43,600	
Ozone unit support						
Establishment of the ozone unit	UNIDO		$30,000	$2,250	$32,250	
Total for Montenegro			**$70,000**	**$5,850**	**$75,850**	
MOROCCO						
FUMIGANT						
Methyl bromide						
Project preparation for a methyl bromide phase-out plan (green beans and cucumber)	UNIDO		$35,000	$2,625	$37,625	
Total for Morocco			**$35,000**	**$2,625**	**$37,625**	
PAKISTAN						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV, first year) *Approved for one year only and without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance.*	UNDP		$112,233	$8,418	$120,651	
Total for Pakistan			**$112,233**	**$8,418**	**$120,651**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
PARAGUAY						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	UNDP	68.7	$160,000	$12,000	$172,000	
Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance, and in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. The agencies were urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP. The first tranche was approved on the understanding that the agencies will not disburse any funding until the Government of Paraguay submits to the Ozone Secretariat a plan of action with specific time benchmarks to ensure a prompt return to compliance as required under decision XVIII/32.						
Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	UNEP		$80,000	$10,400	$90,400	
Approved without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance, and in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. The agencies were urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP. The first tranche was approved on the understanding that the agencies will not disburse any funding until the Government of Paraguay submits to the Ozone Secretariat a plan of action with specific time benchmarks to ensure a prompt return to compliance as required under decision XVIII/32.						
Total for Paraguay		**68.7**	**$240,000**	**$22,400**	**$262,400**	
PHILIPPINES						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 annual programme	IBRD	907.0	$337,717	$20,795	$358,512	
Total for Philippines		**907.0**	**$337,717**	**$20,795**	**$358,512**	
ROMANIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche)	UNIDO	14.9	$30,000	$2,250	$32,250	5.49
Total for Romania		**14.9**	**$30,000**	**$2,250**	**$32,250**	
SEYCHELLES						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
ODS phase out plan						
Terminal ODS phase-out management plan (first tranche)	France		$120,000	$15,600	$135,600	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. France was urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP.						
Total for Seychelles			**$120,000**	**$15,600**	**$135,600**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
SIERRA LEONE						
HALON						
Technical assistance/support						
Technical assistance for awareness raising in the halon sector	UNDP		$15,000	$1,350	$16,350	
Approved on the understanding that no further funding would be requested by the Government of Sierra Leone for the halon sector.						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase II, 2nd year)	UNEP		$48,445	$0	$48,445	
Total for Sierra Leone			**$63,445**	**$1,350**	**$64,795**	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
Audit for an ongoing terminal phase-out management plan	UNDP		$20,000	$1,500	$21,500	
Total for Trinidad and Tobago			**$20,000**	**$1,500**	**$21,500**	
VENEZUELA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme	UNIDO	50.0	$2,071,831	$155,387	$2,227,218	
Funding was approved on the basis that CFC production in 2005, which included production for domestic feedstock use in a future year, had resulted in a calculated CFC consumption level in excess of the limits set under the agreement, and that CFC feedstock use in a future year of an amount greater than the excess quantity had been verified before approval.						
Total for Venezuela		**50.0**	**$2,071,831**	**$155,387**	**$2,227,218**	
ZIMBABWE						
FUMIGANT						
Methyl bromide						
Total phase-out of methyl bromide used in tobacco seedlings (second tranche)	UNIDO	160.0	$1,862,486	$139,686	$2,002,172	21.91
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
CFC phase out plan						
National phase-out of Annex A (Group I) substances (phase II, first tranche)	Germany	25.0	$175,000	$22,347	$197,347	8.69
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Germany was urged to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the TPMP.						
Total for Zimbabwe		**185.0**	**$2,037,486**	**$162,033**	**$2,199,519**	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total	C.E. (US$/kg)
REGION: LAC						
SEVERAL						
Technical assistance/support						
Latin American Customs Enforcement Network: Preventing illegal trade of ODS	Canada		$50,000	$6,500	$56,500	
Approved without prejudice to future funding approvals for the remaining two years proposed for the project.						
Latin American Customs Enforcement Network: Preventing illegal trade of ODS	UNEP		$80,000	$10,400	$90,400	
Approved without prejudice to future funding approvals for the remaining two years proposed for the project.						
Total for Region: LAC			**$130,000**	**$16,900**	**$146,900**	
GRAND TOTAL		**3,718.5**	**$50,667,271**	**$3,873,452**	**$54,540,723**	

Sector	Tonnes (ODP)	Funds approved (US$) Project	Support	Total
BILATERAL COOPERATION				
Solvent	9.0	$288,440	$37,497	$325,937
Phase-out plan	275.3	$1,324,868	$153,412	$1,478,280
Several		$50,000	$6,500	$56,500
TOTAL:	284.3	$1,663,308	$197,409	$1,860,717
INVESTMENT PROJECT				
Aerosol	485.1	$6,000,000	$450,000	$6,450,000
Foam	156.0	$147,564	$11,067	$158,631
Fumigant	160.0	$1,862,486	$139,686	$2,002,172
Process agent		$5,000,000	$375,000	$5,375,000
Production		$30,000,000	$2,250,000	$32,250,000
Refrigeration	1,514.0	$1,202,355	$95,192	$1,297,547
Phase-out plan	1,083.7	$3,486,727	$273,470	$3,760,197
TOTAL:	3,398.8	$47,699,132	$3,594,415	$51,293,547
WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENT				
Fumigant		$95,000	$7,125	$102,125
Halon	1.2	$35,000	$3,150	$38,150
Phase-out plan		$95,000	$8,940	$103,940
Several	34.3	$1,079,831	$62,413	$1,142,244
TOTAL:	35.5	$1,304,831	$81,628	$1,386,459
Summary by Parties and Implementing Agencies				
Canada		$129,000	$16,770	$145,770
France		$150,000	$19,500	$169,500
Germany	275.3	$1,095,868	$123,642	$1,219,510
Italy	9.0	$288,440	$37,497	$325,937
IBRD	1,683.1	$41,819,481	$3,139,094	$44,958,575
UNDP	707.4	$1,453,338	$113,974	$1,567,312
UNEP	18.0	$607,325	$38,090	$645,415
UNIDO	1,025.8	$5,123,819	$384,885	$5,508,704
GRAND TOTAL	3,718.5	$50,667,271	$3,873,452	$54,540,723

ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 51ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR BALANCES ON PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES

Agency (1)	Project Costs (US$)	Support Costs (US$)	Total (US$)
Canada (per decision 51/4(c)) (2)	196,871	25,593	222,464
UNDP (per decision 51/4(a)(ii)&(iii))	115,285	14,058	129,343
UNEP (per decision 51/4(a)(ii)&(iii))	755	44	799
UNIDO (per decision 51/4(a)(ii),(iii)&(v))	(196,419)	6,399	(190,020)
World Bank (per decision 51/4(a)(ii)&(iii))	32,129	4,177	36,306
Total	**148,621**	**50,271**	**198,892**

ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 51ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR TRANSFERRED PROJECTS

Agency	Project Costs (US$)	Support Costs (US$)	Total (US$)
UNDP (per decision 51/4 (c) and 51/10 (b))	256,871	22,218	279,089
UNIDO (per decision 51/4(a)(vi))	222,000	16,650	238,650
World Bank (per decision 51/10(b))	(60,000)	(4,500)	(64,500)

NET ALLOCATIONS TO IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES AND BILATERAL CONTRIBUTIONS BASED ON DECISIONS OF THE 51ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Agency	Project Costs (US$)	Support Costs (US$)	Total (US$)
Canada (2)	(67,871)	(8,823)	(76,694)
France (3)	150,000	19,500	169,500
Germany (4)	1,095,868	123,642	1,219,510
Italy (3)	288,440	37,497	325,937
UNDP	1,594,924	122,134	1,717,058
UNEP	606,570	38,046	644,616
UNIDO	5,542,238	395,136	5,937,374
World Bank	41,727,352	3,130,417	44,857,769
Total	**50,937,521**	**3,857,549**	**54,795,070**

(1) The notation in Decision 51/4(a) (vi) of the return by Sweden of US $250,860 was already recorded by the Treasurer in the status of contributions and disbursement document at the 50th meeting.
(2)Total amount which includes the amount of $222,464 to be deducted from the 2005 biletaral assitance of Canada per Decision 51/4(c) and an amount of $145,770 to be netted off from Canada contribution in 2007 as per dec. 51/20(b)(i). Any outstanding payment of Canada in 2005 and 2006 shall be credited to 2007.
(3) Total amount to be assigned to 2007 bilateral contributions.
(4) Amount for Germany of US $646,693 to be applied in 2007 and US $572,817 to be applied in 2008.

Annex VIII

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF BOLIVIA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE TERMINAL PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Bolivia (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) prior to 1 January 2010 in compliance with Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances in Annex A (Group I) and Annex B (Group II) of the Montreal Protocol as set out in rows 2 and 4 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances as described in the project document.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 7 of Appendix 2-A (the “Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant implementing agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 30 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

(a) That the Country has met the Targets for the applicable year;

(b) That the meeting of these Targets will be independently verified, if requested by the Executive Committee consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54;

(c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last annual implementation programme; and

(d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation programme in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Annual Implementation Programme”) in respect of the year for which funding is being requested.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the "Monitoring") will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 9.

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next annual implementation programme and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation programme, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the report on implementation of the annual programme.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the servicing sector, in particular:

(a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;

(b) The technical assistance programme will be implemented in stages so that remaining resources can be diverted to other activities such as additional training or procurement of service tools in cases where the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement; and

(c) The Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfill the obligations under this Agreement. Canada has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNDP has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A, including but not limited to independent verification. The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which will be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 8 and 9 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances in Annex A (Group I) of the Montreal Protocol or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive

Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next instalment of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Annex A:	Group I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-115
Annex B:	Group II	CTC

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
1. Montreal Protocol consumption limits of Annex A, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	37.8	11.4	11.4	11.4	0.00	
2. Maximum allowable consumption of Annex A, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	26.7	11.4	11.4	11.4	0.00	
3. Montreal Protocol consumption limits of Annex B, Group II substances (ODP tonnes)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	
4. Maximum allowable consumption of Annex B, Group II substances (ODP tonnes)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.00	
5. Lead IA agreed funding (US $)		79,000	88,000			167,000
6. Cooperating IA agreed funding (US $)		151,000	222,000			373,000
7. Total agreed funding (US $)		230,000	310,000	0	0	540,000
8. Lead IA support costs (US $)		10,270	11,440	0	0	21,710
9. Cooperating IA support costs (US $)		11,325	16,650	0	0	27,975
10. Total agreed support costs (US $)		21,595	28,090	0	0	49,685
11. Grand total agreed funding (US $)		251,595	338,090			589,685

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the second tranche will be considered for approval at the second meeting of 2008. In case the Executive Committee requires verification of the achievements of the targets in the TPMP, it is understood that the approval or disbursement of the tranche might be delayed until the verification is completed and has been reviewed.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

1. Data

Country ______________________
Year of plan ______________________
# of years completed ______________________
# of years remaining under the plan ______________________
Target ODS consumption of the preceding year ______________________
Target ODS consumption of the year of plan ______________________
Level of funding requested ______________________
Lead implementing agency ______________________
Cooperating agency(ies) ______________________

2. Targets

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	Total (1)			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	Total (2)			

3. Industry Action

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1) – (2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (in ODP tonnes)
Manufacturing						
Total						
Refrigeration						
Total						
Grand total						

4. Technical Assistance

Proposed Activity:
Objective:
Target Group:
Impact:

5. **Government Action**

Policy/Activity planned	Schedule of implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc.	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. **Annual Budget**

Activity	Planned expenditures (US $)
Total	

7. **Administrative Fees**

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the project "Monitoring and Management Unit", within the National Ozone Unit.

2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the TPMP. This organization, along with the Cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports with advisements made to the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

Verification and reporting

3. In accordance to decision 45/54 (d), the Executive Committee reserves the right for independent verification in case the Executive Committee selects Bolivia for related auditing. Based on discussion with the Lead IA, Bolivia should select the independent organization (auditing) to carry out the verification of the TPMP results and this independent monitoring programme.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

(a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country’s phase-out plan;

(b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;

(c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Annual Implementation Programme consistent with Appendix-5A. In case the Executive Committee selects Bolivia consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54, separate funding will be provided by the Executive Committee to the Lead IA for this undertaking;

(d) Ensuring that the achievements in previous annual implementation programmes are reflected in the future annual implementation programme;

(e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme of the preceding year and preparing for annual implementation programme for the year of submission for submission to the Executive Committee, commencing with the 2008 annual implementation programme combined with the report on the 2007 annual implementation programme;

(f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;

(g) Carrying out required supervision missions;

(h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;

(i) Providing verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets, if requested by the Executive Committee;

(j) Coordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA;

(k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and

(l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Cooperating IA will:

(a) Provide policy development assistance when required;

(b) Assist the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA; and

(c) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US $10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

Annex IX

AGREEMENT BETWEEN SEYCHELLES AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE TERMINAL PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Seychelles (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances in the sectors set out in Appendix 1-A (the "Substances") prior to 1 January 2010 compliance with Protocol.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances in Annex A (Group I) of the Montreal Protocol as set out in row 1 of Appendix 2-A (the "Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances as described in the project document.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 2 of Appendix 2-A (the "Funding") to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the "Funding Approval Schedule").

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant implementing agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 30 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

(a) That the Country has met the Targets for the applicable year;

(b) That the meeting of these Targets will be independently verified, if requested by the Executive Committee consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54;

(c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last annual implementation programme; and

(d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation programme in the form of Appendix 4-A (the "Annual Implementation Programme") in respect of the year for which funding is being requested.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the "Monitoring") will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 9.

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next annual implementation programme and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation programme, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the report on the implementation of the annual programme.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the servicing sector, in particular:

(a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;

(b) The technical assistance programme will be implemented in stages so that remaining resources can be diverted to other activities such as additional training or procurement of service tools in cases where the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement; and

(c) The Country and the implementing agency will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfill the obligations under this Agreement. France has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A including but not limited to verification. The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which will be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 3 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances in Appendix 1-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next instalment of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by

the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Annex A:	Group I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 CFC-114 and CFC-115

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2006	**2007**	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**	**Total**
1. Max. allowable total consumption of Annex A Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0	
2. Lead IA agreed funding (US $)		120,000	60,000	13,000		193,000
3. Lead IA support costs (US $)		15,600	7,800	1,690		25,090
4. Grand total agreed funding (US $)		135,600	67,800	14,690		218,090

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year of the Annual Implementation Programme.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

1. **Data**

Country ____________________
Year of plan ____________________
# of years completed ____________________
# of years remaining under the plan ____________________
Target ODS consumption of the preceding year ____________________
Target ODS consumption of the year of plan ____________________
Level of funding requested ____________________
Lead implementing agency ____________________
Cooperating agency(ies) ____________________

2. Targets

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	Total (1)			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	Total (2)			

3. Industry Action

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1) – (2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (in ODP tonnes)
Manufacturing						
Total						
Refrigeration						
Total						
Grand total						

4. Technical Assistance

Proposed Activity:
Objective:
Target Group:
Impact:

5. Government Action

Policy/Activity planned	Schedule of implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc.	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. Annual Budget

Activity	Planned expenditures (US $)
Total	

7. Administrative Fees

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. Discussions with the National Ozone Unit and the Lead IA will determine the need for a monitoring unit or whether the tasks could be done through the joint efforts of both the agencies.

Institution involved	Role	Responsibility type and frequency of reporting	Evaluation
Ozone Office	Overall monitoring	Meetings with Lead IA Meeting reports, MoU	By Lead IA
Lead IA	Lead IA	Expenditure Report Quarterly Report	By Lead IA
Lead IA	Lead IA	Progress report (annual) Quarterly Report	By Ozone Officer

<u>Verification and reporting</u>

2. In accordance to decision 45/54 (d), the Executive Committee reserves the right for independent verification in case the Executive Committee selects Seychelles for related auditing. Based on discussion with the Lead IA, Seychelles should select the independent organization (auditing) to carry out the verification of the TPMP results and this independent monitoring programme. The monitoring reports will be produced and verified each year, previous to the third meeting of the Executive Committee. These reports will produce the input for the yearly implementation reports required by the Executive Committee.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Lead IA ill be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

(a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;

(b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;

(c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Annual Implementation Programme consistent with Appendix-5A. In case the Executive Committee selects Seychelles consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54, separate funding will be provided by the Executive Committee to the Lead IA for this undertaking;

(d) Ensuring that the achievements in previous annual implementation programmes are reflected in the future Annual Implementation Programme;

(e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme of the preceding year and preparing for annual implementation programme for the year of submission for submission to the Executive Committee, commencing with the 2008 annual implementation programme combined with the report on the 2007 annual implementation programme;

(f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;

(g) Carrying out required supervision missions;

(h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;

(i) Providing verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets, if requested by the Executive Committee;

(j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and

(k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

Not relevant.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US $10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

Annex X

VIEWS EXPRESSED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON RENEWALS OF INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE 51st MEETING

Chile

1. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Chile, and acknowledges the significant steps that have been taken by Chile to reduce its ODS consumption. These actions include among others, interagency coordination efforts related to project implementation, that ensure national compliance with Montreal Protocol commitments, as well as training, monitoring, and awareness raising campaigns. The Committee notes with appreciation that Chile has successfully returned to compliance with its methyl bromide obligations under the Protocol and that the regulation for ODS import/export control is being implemented. The Committee notes with concern however that the Country has not met its targets under its plan of action for methyl chloroform and urges Chile to undertake measures to meet its compliance commitments. The Executive Committee hopes that Chile will continue its integrated approach to ODS phase out for the next two years and until 2010.

Comoros

2. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Comoros, and notes with appreciation that Comoros has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat, indicating that it reduced its CFC consumption in 2005 beyond the required 50% reduction. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Comoros will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and achieve complete phase out of its ODS consumption ahead of schedule.

Congo

3. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Congo, and notes with appreciation that Congo has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat, indicating that it reduced its CFC consumption in 2005 beyond the required 50% reduction. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Congo will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and achieve complete phase out of its ODS consumption ahead of schedule.

Ecuador

4. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Ecuador, and notes with concern that Ecuador reported data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that its level of methyl bromide consumption in 2005 exceeded its maximum allowable consumption under the Montreal Protocol for that year. The

Committee acknowledges, and will continue to support, the considerable steps that have been taken by the Government of Ecuador to reduce its ODS consumption. The Executive Committee is hopeful that, in the next two years, Ecuador will continue its strategic approach to ODS phase-out, including the implementation of ongoing projects and the enactment of supporting legislative measures. The Committee also hopes that Ecuador will successfully put in place controls to bring the country back to compliance with its methyl bromide obligations under the Montreal Protocol in 2007.

Egypt

5. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Egypt, and notes with appreciation that Egypt reported data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it reduced its CFC consumption in 2005 beyond its CFC compliance baseline. The Committee also notes that within the framework of the institutional strengthening project, Egypt has taken significant steps to phase out its ODS consumption, specifically, implementation of strategies in different sectors of ODS phase out, as well as continued regulatory efforts through the licensing and quota system. The Executive Committee greatly supports the efforts of Egypt to reduce the consumption of CFCs, and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Egypt will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and achieve further reductions of its CFC consumption levels.

Georgia

6. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Georgia, and notes with appreciation that Georgia has reported 2005 data to the Ozone Secretariat, indicating that it is on track with the phase out schedule in CFC consumption. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Georgia will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and achieve further reductions in its CFC consumption levels.

Liberia

7. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Liberia, and notes with appreciation that Liberia has reported 2005 data to the Ozone Secretariat, indicating that it is already in compliance with the 85% reduction target for 2007. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Liberia will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and remain in compliance with the CFC consumption reduction schedules of the Montreal Protocol.

Pakistan

8. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Pakistan, and notes with concern, that Pakistan reported data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that its level of CTC consumption in

2005 exceeded its maximum allowable consumption under the Montreal Protocol for that year. The Committee also acknowledges the prompt action of Pakistan in presenting a plan of action to return it to compliance with the CTC control measures of the Montreal Protocol. Pakistan has taken significant steps to phase out its ODS consumption, specifically, moving forward with the implementation of the halon bank project, the RMP and CTC sector phase out, and controlling imports of CFCs and CFC based equipment through a licensing system. The Executive Committee greatly supports the efforts of Pakistan to reduce its consumption of ODS and is therefore hopeful that, in the next year, Pakistan will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and ensure its compliance with the CTC reduction schedules of the Montreal Protocol.

Sierra Leone

9. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Sierra Leone, and notes with appreciation that Sierra Leone has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat, indicating that it reduced its CFC consumption in 2005 beyond the required 50% reduction, and that the country achieved total phase out in other substances. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next year, Sierra Leone will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success, and achieve complete phase out of its ODS consumption ahead of schedule.

Annex XI

AGREEMENT BETWEEN PARAGUAY AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE PHASE-OUT OF ANNEX A GROUP I OZONE-DEPLETING SUBSTANCES

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Paraguay (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances set out in Appendix 1-A (the "Substances") prior to 1 January 2010 in compliance with Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances in Annex A (Group I) of the Montreal Protocol as set out in row 2 of Appendix 2-A (the "Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances as described in the project document

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 5 of Appendix 2-A (the "Funding") to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the "Funding Approval Schedule").

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2 -A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant implementing agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 30 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

(a) That the Country has met the Targets for the applicable year;

(b) That the meeting of these Targets will be independently verified, if requested by the Executive Committee consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54;

(c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last annual implementation programme; and

(d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation program in the form of Appendix 4-A (the "Annual Implementation Programme") in respect of the year for which funding is being requested.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the "Monitoring") will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 9.

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next annual implementation programme and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation programme, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the report on implementation of the annual programme.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the servicing sector, in particular:

(a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;

(b) The technical assistance programme will be implemented in stages so that remaining resources can be diverted to other activities such as additional training or procurement of service tools in cases where the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement; and

(c) The Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNDP has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A including but not limited to independent verification. The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which will be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 6 and 7 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances in Annex A (Group I) of the Montreal Protocol or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were

due to be met prior to receipt of the next instalment of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Annex A:	Group I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 CFC-114 and CFC-115

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
1. Montreal Protocol consumption limits of Annex A Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	105.3	31.6	31.6	31.6	0.00	
2. Max. allowable total consumption of Annex A Group I substances (ODP tonnes)		31.6	31.6	31.6	0.00	
3. Lead IA agreed funding (US $)		80,000	60,000	30,000	24,000	194,000
4. Cooperating IA agreed funding (US $)		160,000	140,000	50,000	21,000	371,000
5. Total agreed funding costs (US $)		240,000	200,000	80,000	45,000	565,000
6. Lead IA support costs (US $)		10,400	7,800	3,900	3,120	25,220
7. Cooperating IA support costs (US $)		12,000	10,500	3,750	1,575	27,825
8. Total agreed support costs (US $)		22,400	18,300	7,650	4,695	53,045
9. Grand total agreed funding (US $)		262,400	218,300	87,650	49,695	618,045

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year of the Annual Implementation Programme.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

1. **Data**

Country ______________________________
Year of plan ______________________________
# of years completed ______________________________
# of years remaining under the plan ______________________________
Target ODS consumption of the preceding year ______________________________
Target ODS consumption of the year of plan ______________________________
Level of funding requested ______________________________
Lead implementing agency ______________________________
Cooperating agency(ies) ______________________________

2. **Targets**

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	Total (1)			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	Total (2)			

3. **Industry Action**

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1) – (2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (in ODP tonnes)
Manufacturing						
Total						
Refrigeration						
Total						
Grand total						

4. **Technical Assistance**

Proposed Activity:
Objective:
Target Group:
Impact:

5. **Government Action**

Policy/Activity planned	Schedule of implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc.	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. **Annual Budget**

Activity	Planned expenditures (US $)
Total	

7. **Administrative Fees**

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. The monitoring of the initiatives within the TPMP and of the compliance with the CFC consumption limits will be carried out within the project “TPMP Implementation, Monitoring, and Control”.
2. The project will produce quarterly operational reports for the purpose of timely identification of problems or obstacles and design of corrective measures. The quarterly reports will be consolidated into an Annual Progress Report, which in turn will be the basis for the “TPMP Annual Implementation Report” and the “TPMP Annual Implementation Plan” to be submitted to the Executive Committee.

Verification and reporting

3. In accordance to decision 45/54 (d), the Executive Committee reserves the right for independent verification in case the Executive Committee selects Paraguay for related auditing. Based on discussion with the Lead IA, Paraguay should select the independent organization (auditing) to carry out the verification of the TPMP results and this independent monitoring programme.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

(a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country’s phase-out plan;

(b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;

(c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Annual Implementation Programme consistent with Appendix-5A. In case the Executive Committee selects Paraguay consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54, separate funding will be provided by the Executive Committee to the Lead IA for this undertaking;

(d) Ensuring that the achievements in previous annual implementation programmes are reflected in the future annual implementation programme;

(e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme of the preceding year and preparing for annual implementation programme for the year of submission for submission to the Executive Committee, commencing with the 2008 annual implementation programme combined with the report on the 2007 annual implementation programme;

(f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;

(g) Carrying out required supervision missions;

(h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;

(i) Providing verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets, if requested by the Executive Committee;

(j) Coordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA;

(k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and

(l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Cooperating IA will:

(a) Provide policy development assistance when required;

(b) Assist the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA; and

(c) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US $10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

Annex XII

AGREEMENT BETWEEN ZIMBABWE AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE NATIONAL PHASE-OUT PLAN OF ANNEX A (GROUP I) SUBSTANCES

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Zimbabwe (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances set out in Appendix 1-A (the "Substances") prior to 1 January 2010 in compliance with Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to phase out the controlled use of the Substances in accordance with the annual phase-out targets set out in row 2 of Appendix 2-A (the "Targets and Funding") in this Agreement. The annual phase-out targets will, at a minimum, correspond to the reduction schedules mandated by the Montreal Protocol. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 5 of Appendix 2-A (the "Targets and Funding") to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the "Funding Approval Schedule").

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each Substance as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant Implementing Agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 30 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

   (a) That the Country has met the Target for the applicable year;

   (b) That the meeting of the Target has been independently verified as described in paragraph 9;

   (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last Annual Implementation Programme; and

   (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation programme in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format for Annual Implementation Programmes") in respect of the year for which funding is being requested.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 9.

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next Annual Implementation Programme and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Annual Implementation Programme, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the report on implementation of the annual programme.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

(a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;

(b) The technical assistance programme for the refrigeration servicing subsectors will be implemented in stages so that resources can be diverted to other phase-out activities such as, additional training or procurement of service tools, in cases where the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement;

(c) The Country and the Lead IA will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the project.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. Germany (the "Lead IA") has agreed to be the lead implementing agency in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A, including but not limited to independent verification. The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which will be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 6 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in Appendix 1-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next instalment of Funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The

Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The Funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the Funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide access by the Lead IA to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Annex A:	Group I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 CFC-114 and CFC-115

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
1. Max allowable total consumption of Annex A Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	225.7	67.7	67.7	67.7	0.0	
2. Max agreed total consumption of Annex A Group I substances (ODP tonnes)		65.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	
3. Reduction from ongoing projects		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4. New reduction under plan		25.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	65.0
5. Lead IA agreed funding (US $)		175,000	175,000	175,000	40,000	565,000
6. Lead IA support costs (US $)		22,347	22,347	22,347	5,108	72,150
7. Grand total agreed funding (US $)		197,347	197,347	197,347	45,108	637,150

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year for which funding is being requested.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

1. **Data**

Country	____________________
Year of plan	____________________
# of years completed	____________________
# of years remaining under the plan	____________________
Target ODS consumption of the preceding year	____________________
Target ODS consumption of the year of plan	____________________
Level of funding requested	____________________
Lead implementing agency	____________________
Cooperating agency(ies)	____________________

2. **Targets**

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	Total (1)			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	Total (2)			

3. **Industry Action**

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1) – (2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (in ODP tonnes)
Manufacturing						
Total						
Refrigeration						
Total						
Grand total						

4. **Technical Assistance**

Proposed Activity:
Objective:
Target Group:
Impact:

5. **Government Action**

Policy/Activity planned	Schedule of implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc.	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. **Annual Budget**

Activity	Planned expenditures (US $)
Total	

7. **Administrative Fees**

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the national phase-out plan. All the monitoring activities have so far been done through the efforts of the Ozone Unit together with the local GTZ office in Harare and GTZ Proklima in Namibia. However efforts are ongoing to find a suitable individual who could undertake the tasks of the Project Monitoring Unit.

2. The success of the monitoring programme will be based on well designed forms for data collection, evaluation and reporting; regular programme of monitoring visits; and appropriate cross-checking of information from different sources.

Verification and reporting

3. The outcome of the different elements of the national phase-out plan and of the monitoring activities will be verified independently by an external organization. The Country and the independent organization will jointly design the verification procedures as part of the design phase of the monitoring programme.

Frequency of verification and reporting

4. The monitoring reports will be produced and verified each year, previous to the first meeting of the Executive Committee. These reports will produce the input for the yearly implementation reports required by the Executive Committee.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IA

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document along the lines of the following:

(a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;

(b) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Annual Implementation Programme;

(c) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;

(d) Ensuring that achievements in previous Annual Implementation Programmes are reflected in future Annual Implementation Programmes;

(e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme of the preceding year and preparing for annual implementation programme for the year of submission for submission to the Executive Committee, commencing with the Annual Implementation Programme combined with the report on the 2007 Annual Implementation programme;

(f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the lead Implementing Agency;

(g) Carrying out required supervision missions;

(h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;

(i) Providing verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets;

(j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the Indicators; and

(k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IA

Not relevant.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US $10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

SECRETARIAT BUDGETS FOR 2007, 2008 AND 2009

			Approved 2007	Approved 2008	Approved 2009
10	PERSONNEL COMPONENT				
1100	Project Personnel (Title & Grade)				
	01	Chief Officer (D2)	189,454	198,926	208,873
	02	Deputy Chief Officer (Economic Cooperation) (P5)	173,852	182,545	191,672
	03	Deputy Chief officer (Technical Cooperation) (P5)	177,336	186,203	195,513
	04	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	168,955	177,403	186,273
	05	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	168,955	177,403	186,273
	06	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	168,955	177,403	186,273
	07	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	168,955	177,403	186,273
	08	Information Management Officer (P3)	149,393	156,863	164,706
	09	**Admin & Fund Management Officer (P4 upgraded to P5) (1)**	151,589	159,168	167,126
	10	Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (P5)	168,955	177,403	186,273
	11	Associate Executive Assistant (P2)	78,719	82,654	86,787
	12	Associate IT officer (P2)	74,970	78,719	82,654
1199		Sub-Total	**1,840,089**	**1,932,093**	**2,028,698**
1200	Consultants				
	01	Technical and project review	150,000		
1299		Sub-Total	**150,000**	-	-
1300	Administrative Support Personnel				
	01	Admin Assistant (G8)	71,217	74,777	78,516
	02	Meeting Services Assistant (G7)	67,387	70,756	74,294
	03	Programme Assistant (G8)	71,217	74,777	78,516
	04	Senior Secretary (Deputy Chief, EC) (G6)	52,753	55,391	58,160
	05	Senior Secretary (Deputy Chief, TC) (G6)	52,753	55,391	58,160
	06	Computer Operations Assistant (G8)	71,217	74,777	78,516
	07	Secretary (Prog. Officers -2) (G6)	55,755	58,542	61,469
	08	Secretary/Clerk, Administration (G7)	59,811	62,801	65,941
	09	Registry Clerk (G5)	45,570	47,849	50,241
	10	Database Assistant (G8)	71,217	74,777	78,516
	11	Secretary, Monitoring & Evaluation (G6)	52,753	55,391	58,160
		Sub-Total	**671,647**	**705,229**	**740,491**
	33	Meeting Services: ExCom (3)	600,000		
	35	Temporary assistance	50,000		
		Sub-Total	**650,000**	-	-
1399		TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT COST	**1,321,647**	**705,229**	**740,491**
1600	Travel on official business				
	01	Mission Costs	160,000		
19		COMPONENT TOTAL	**3,471,735**	**2,637,322**	**2,769,188**

			Approved 2007	Approved 2008	Approved 2009
20	CONTRACTUAL COMPONENT				
2100	Sub-contracts				
	01	Treasury services	500,000		
2200	Subcontracts				
		Other Studies (2)	**150,000**		
	01	Various Studies (3)	350,000		
29		COMPONENT TOTAL	**1,000,000**		
30	MEETING PARTICIPATION COMPONENT				
3300	Travel & DSA for Art 5 delegates to ExCom Meetings				
	01	Travel of Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson	30,000		
	02	Executive Committee (3)	225,000		
	03	Informal Sub-Group Meetings	30,000		
39		COMPONENT TOTAL	**285,000**		
40	EQUIPMENT COMPONENT				
4100	Expendables				
	01	Office Stationery	15,000		
	02	Software	9,000		
		Sub-Total	**24,000**		
4200	Non-Expendable Equipment				
	01	Computers, printers	10,000		
	02	Others	5,000		
		Sub-Total	**15,000**		
4300	Premises				
	01	Rental of office premises*	460,000		
49		COMPONENT TOTAL	**499,000**		

			Approved 2007	Approved 2008	Approved 2009
	MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT				
51	Operation and Maintenance of Equipment				
	01	Computers and printers, etc.	9,000		
	02	Maintenance of office premises	9,000		
	03	Rental of photocopiers	15,000		
	04	Telecommunication equipment	9,000		
	05	Network maintenance	12,000		
		Sub-total	**54,000**		
52	Reporting Costs				
	01	Executive Committee meetings			
	02	Others	20,000		
		Sub-total	**20,000**		
53	Sundries				
	01	Communications	40,000		
	02	Freight Charges	15,000		
	03	Bank Charges	5,000		
	04	Staff training	38,000		
		Sub-total	**98,000**		
54	01	Hospitality costs	10,000		
59		COMPONENT TOTAL	**182,000**		
GRAND TOTAL			5,437,735	2,637,322	2,769,188
		Programme Support Costs (13%)	326,526	342,852	359,994
		(applied to budget lines 11 and 13.01 to 13.11 only)			
COST TO MULTILATERAL FUND			**5,764,261**	**2,980,174**	**3,129,183**
	Previous budget schedule		**5,614,261**	**2,980,174**	**3,129,183**

(1) The annual cost of the upgrade is charged to budget line 2101 UNEP treasury fees as per decision 51/39 (c).

(2) As per decision 51/39 (e) and exclusive of travel costs.

(3) As per decision 50/45(g).

* The estimated 2007 rental costs amount to $460,000. $135,000 of which will be charged to the Fund, and approximately $325,000 will be funded by the Government of Canada as part of their commitment to pay the cost differentials of having the Secretariat based in Montreal as opposed to having it based in Nairobi.