

Annex IV

**LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS
ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS**

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Algeria (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Algeria had not been submitted due to the change in NOU and urging the Government of Algeria to work with UNIDO so that the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Antigua and Barbuda (Stage I)	Noting that the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Antigua and Barbuda had not been submitted due to structural changes within the Government and urging the Government of Antigua and Barbuda to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP so that the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 tranche.
Barbados (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Barbados had not been submitted as the second tranche approved at the 80 th meeting has not yet been implemented and is pending closure of the first tranche, and urging the Government of Barbados to work with UNEP so that the closure of the first tranche is completed and implementation of the second tranche is advanced so that the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd or 83 rd meeting.
Bosnia and Herzegovina (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina to work with UNIDO so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Brazil (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Brazil to work with the Government of Germany, UNDP and UNIDO so that the third (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Brunei Darussalam (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification of HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and the slow implementation resulting in delayed initiation of the reclamation centre, and urging the Government of Brunei Darussalam to work with UNEP to complete the verification, and with UNEP and UNDP to submit the third (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the third (2017) and subsequent tranches.
Central African Republic (Stage I)	Noting the ongoing difficult circumstances in the country and urging the Government of the Central African Republic to work with UNEP to expedite the implementation of the first (2011) tranche so that the second (2013) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2013 and subsequent tranches.
Chad (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification of HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of Chad to work with UNEP to complete the verification, and to submit the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 82 nd meeting.
China (Stage II – PU rigid foam sector)	Noting that the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP for the polyurethane (PU) rigid foam sector submitted to the 81 st meeting had been withdrawn, and urging the Government of China to work with the World Bank to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP for the PU rigid foam sector could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2017 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Comoros (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification of HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and urging the Government of Comoros to work with UNEP to complete the verification, and to submit the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 82 nd meeting.
Congo (Stage I)	Noting that the agreements had been signed and urging the Government of Congo to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Cote d'Ivoire (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been resolved and urging the Government of Cote d'Ivoire to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Cuba (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2016) tranche of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Cuba to work with UNDP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Djibouti (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been resolved and urging the Government of Djibouti to work with UNEP so that the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Dominica (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU and the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and urging the Government of Dominica to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Equatorial Guinea (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2016) and fourth (2018) tranches submitted to the 81 st meeting were withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies due to the lack of an operational licensing and quota system, and urging the Government of Equatorial Guinea to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) and fourth (2018) tranches of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches; with an adjusted starting point for aggregate reductions in HCFC consumption that takes into account the actual HCFC consumption in the country, and on the understanding that the Government of Equatorial Guinea confirms that the licensing system has been stabilized and the quota system is functional.
Gabon (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been resolved and urging the Government of Gabon to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Guinea (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to slow implementation of activities and urging the Government of Guinea to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Haiti (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and because the agreement had not been signed, and urging the Government of Haiti to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd or 83 rd meeting.
Mali (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been resolved and urging the Government of Mali to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Mozambique (Stage I)	Noting that the agreement had not been signed, the mandatory verification report on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and the overall disbursement rate of the second tranche of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Mozambique to work with UNEP and UNIDO to expedite the signing of the agreement and to complete the activities and the verification, so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Niger (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the second (2016) tranche submitted to the 81 st meeting were withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies due to the lack of an operational licensing and quota system, and urging the Government of the Niger to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches, with an adjusted starting point for aggregate reductions in HCFC consumption that takes into account the actual HCFC consumption in the country, and on the understanding that the Government of the Niger confirms that the licensing system has been stabilized and the quota system is functional.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Pakistan (Stage II)	Noting that the agreement (UNEP) had not been signed and the overall disbursement rate of the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Pakistan to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement, and with UNEP and UNIDO so that the second (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Panama (Stage II)	Noting that the project document had been signed but the overall disbursement rate of the first (2016) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Panama to work with UNDP so that the second (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Republic of Moldova (the) (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2016) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of the Republic of Moldova to work with UNEP so that the second (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Qatar (Stage I)	Noting that the agreement or project document for stage I of the HPMP had not been signed and urging the Government of Qatar to work with UNEP and UNIDO to address all the issues related to the signing of the agreement before the 82 nd meeting so that a proposal for stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 83 rd meeting, otherwise the project would be considered for possible cancellation at the 82 nd meeting in the absence of any progress, and the outstanding balances returned to the Multilateral Fund.
Rwanda (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the change of NOU and urging the Government of Rwanda to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Saint Lucia (Stage I)	Noting the slow implementation of the third (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP due to structural changes within the Government and urging the Government of Saint Lucia to work with UNEP to expedite the implementation of the third tranche (2015) so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (Stage I)	Noting the slow implementation of the second (2015) tranche of the HPMP due to structural changes within the Government and urging the Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines to work with UNEP to expedite the implementation of the second (2015) tranche so that the third (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd or 83 rd meeting.
Saudi Arabia (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification of HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and the overall disbursement rate of the fourth (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Saudi Arabia to work with UNIDO to complete the verification so that the fifth (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Sierra Leone (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and urging the Government of Sierra Leone to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Togo (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the third tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been resolved and urging the Government of Togo to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2018) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting.
Tunisia (Stage I)	Noting that there had been delays in the implementation of the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector component of stage I of the HPMP and urging the Government of Tunisia to work with the Government of France, UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2017 tranche.
Turkey (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2015) tranche of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Turkey to work with UNIDO to expedite implementation so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (Stage II)	Noting the difficult circumstances in the country and urging the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to work with UNDP and UNIDO to expedite the implementation of the first (2016) tranche so that the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 82 nd meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2017 tranche and subsequent tranches.