

Annex VII

LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Algeria (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) had not been submitted due to the delays in a number of project components (including verification, customs training, flushing) and urging the Government of Algeria to work with UNIDO so that the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Antigua and Barbuda (Stage I)	Noting that the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP had not been submitted in accordance with the Government's decisions, and urging the Government of Antigua and Barbuda to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) so that the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 and subsequent tranches.
Bahamas (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP had not been submitted and urging the Government of the Bahamas to sign the relevant agreement and project document, to submit the required progress and financial report, and to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Bahrain (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to new set up of Government and urging the Government of Bahrain to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Bangladesh (Stage I)	Noting the delays in Government's decisions or endorsement and urging the Government of Bangladesh to work with UNEP so the third (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 and subsequent tranches.
Barbados (Stage I)	Noting that the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 79 th meeting was withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies, and urging the Government of Barbados to work with UNDP and UNEP to address all the relevant issues and to submit the mandatory verification report on HCFC consumption targets so that the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be re-submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Brazil (Stage II)	Noting that the delays in signing the project document had been resolved and urging the Government of Brazil to work with the Government of Germany and UNDP so that the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Brunei Darussalam (Stage I)	Noting the delays in the review of, and agreement on, the small scale funding agreement (SSFA) and that the verification report had not been completed, and urging the Government of Brunei Darussalam to work with UNEP to finalize the SSFA and complete the mandatory verification report on HCFC consumption targets, and with UNEP and UNDP to submit the third (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting.
Burkina Faso (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 79 th meeting was withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies, and urging the Government of Burkina Faso to work with UNEP and UNIDO to address all the relevant issues and to submit the mandatory verification report on HCFC consumption targets so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be re-submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Burundi (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the previous tranche had been resolved and urging the Government of Burundi to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Central African Republic (the) (Stage I)	Noting that there are ongoing security issues and urging the Government of the Central African Republic to work with UNEP to expedite the implementation of the existing tranche so that the second (2013) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 80 th or 81 st meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2013 tranche and subsequent tranches.
Cote d'Ivoire (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the previous tranche had been resolved and urging the Government of Cote d'Ivoire to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 79 th meeting was withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies, and urging the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to work with UNEP and UNDP to address all the relevant issues and to submit the mandatory verification report on HCFC consumption targets so that the third (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be re-submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Dominica (Stage I)	Noting that the verification on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and urging the Government of Dominica to work with UNEP to complete the mandatory verification report so that the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Equatorial Guinea (Stage I)	Noting that the verification on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and urging the Government of Equatorial Guinea to work with UNEP to complete the mandatory verification report, and to work with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Georgia (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to changes in the project management team and urging the Government of Georgia to work with UNDP so that the third (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Guinea (Stage I)	Noting that the delays from the previous tranche had been resolved and urging the Government of Guinea to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Kenya (Stage I)	Noting that the fourth (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was approved at the 77 th meeting due to delays in submitting the tranche, and urging the Government of Kenya to work with the Government of France to expedite project implementation so that the fifth (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Kuwait (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the second tranche of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and the delays in company co-financing contractual arrangements and urging the Government of Kuwait to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Lesotho (Stage I)	Noting the slow implementation of stage I of the HPMP and that the verification report on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed and urging the Government of Lesotho to work with the Government of Germany to complete the mandatory verification report so that the third (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Maldives (Stage I)	Noting that the Government of Maldives is holding a high-level stakeholder meeting to review their plans on how to manage post-2020 scenario after the complete phase-out of HCFCs and urging the Government of Maldives to work with UNEP so that the fourth (2017) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Mozambique (Stage I)	Noting that there have been delays due to the determination of the specifications of equipment for the investment component of the HPMP and that the verification report on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and urging the Government of Mozambique to work with UNEP and UNIDO to complete the activities and the mandatory verification report so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Myanmar (Stage I)	Noting that the verification report on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and urging the Government of Myanmar to work with UNEP to complete the mandatory verification report, and with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the second (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 and subsequent tranches.
Niger (the) (Stage I)	Noting that the verification report on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed, and urging the Government of the Niger to work with UNIDO to submit the mandatory verification report, and with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the second (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Philippines (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays in the project and verification report on HCFC consumption targets and that stage II of the HPMP is submitted by the World Bank for consideration at the 79 th meeting, and urging the Government of the Philippines to work with UNEP to submit the mandatory verification report for 2015 and 2016, to return the remaining balances of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting, and to submit the project completion report of stage I of the HPMP to the 81 st meeting.
Qatar (Stage I)	Noting that the second and third (2013 and 2015) tranches of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 79 th meeting were withdrawn by the relevant implementing agencies, and urging the Government of Qatar to work with UNEP and UNIDO to address all the relevant issues that are related to the signing of agreement so that the second and third (2013 and 2015) tranches of stage I of the HPMP could be re-submitted to the 80 th meeting.
Suriname (Stage I)	Noting that there had been delays in previous tranches, that there were some staffing issues in the national ozone unit and that the verification report on HCFC consumption targets has not been completed, and urging the Government of Suriname to work with UNEP to submit the mandatory verification report, and with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2016 and subsequent tranches.
Timor-Leste (Stage I)	Noting that there had been delays in the procurement of training materials and urging the Government of Timor-Leste to work with UNDP and UNEP to expedite implementation of the HPMP so that the third (2015) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 and subsequent tranches.
Turkey (Stage I)	Noting that there had been security issues and administrative restructuring in the Government and urging the Government of Turkey to work with UNIDO to expedite implementation so that the third (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 80 th meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Viet Nam (Stage II)	Noting that the agreement had not been signed and the overall disbursement rate of the first tranche of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold and urging the Government of Viet Nam to work with the Government of Japan and the World Bank to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the second (2017) tranche of stage II of the HPMP can be submitted to the 80 th or 81 st meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.