

Annex X

LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Algeria (Stage I)	Noting that the third (2014) and fourth (2017) tranches of stage I of the HPMP submitted to the 87 th meeting had been withdrawn, and urging the Government of Algeria to work with UNIDO to expedite implementation of the second (2012) tranche of stage I so that the third and fourth (2014 and 2017) tranches could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches.
Bahamas (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of the Bahamas to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Bahrain (Stage I)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Bahrain to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Bangladesh (Stage II)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Bangladesh to work with UNDP so that the second (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Brazil (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to external factor in addition to the main challenge being limited supply of HFO at a high cost, and urging the Government of Brazil to work with UNDP, UNIDO and the Government of Germany so that the 2021 tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting.
Burundi (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of Burundi to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Colombia (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic in the implementation of investment component, and urging the Government of Colombia to work with UNDP, UNEP and the Government of Germany so that the fourth (2021) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting.
Congo (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, and urging the Government of the Congo to work with UNEP to submit the required progress and financial reports so that the fifth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th or 89 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Cote d'Ivoire (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, and urging the Government of Cote d'Ivoire to work with UNEP to submit the required progress and financial reports so that the fourth (2021) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th or 89 th meeting.
Djibouti (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports, and urging the Government of Djibouti to work with UNEP to submit the required progress and financial reports so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th or 89 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
Egypt (Stage II)	Noting the delays in signing the agreement and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2019) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Egypt to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement and to work with UNDP, UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2021) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Equatorial Guinea (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of Equatorial Guinea to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Indonesia (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and urging the Government of Indonesia to work with UNDP and the World Bank so that the third (2021) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting.
Mali (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and the non-submission of the progress and financial reports and urging the Government of Mali to work with UNEP to submit the required progress and financial reports, and with UNDP and UNEP so that the fifth (2020) tranche could be submitted to the 88 th or 89 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Mauritania (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports and the request by the Government of Mauritania to replace UNDP with UNIDO as the cooperating implementing agency, urging the Government of Mauritania to work with UNEP to submit the required progress and financial reports, requesting UNDP to return to the Multilateral Fund all funding approved under stage I, and further urging the Government to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the second (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches and the change of the cooperating implementing agency.
Mexico (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to structural changes within the Government and the NOU and that the overall disbursement rate of the third (2018) tranche of stage II of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Mexico to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the fourth (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Mozambique (Stage I)	Noting that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of Mozambique to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the fifth (2020) tranche could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Niger (the) (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to external factors and that the overall disbursement rate of the second (2019) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of the Niger to work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Nigeria (Stage II)	Noting the delay due to the lengthy process for endorsement by stakeholders of the stage II of the HPMP project document and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2018) tranche of stage II was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of Nigeria to work with UNDP and the Government of Italy so that the second (2020) tranche of stage II could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Pakistan (Stage II)	Noting that the third (2020) tranche of stage II of the HPMP submitted to the 87 th meeting had been withdrawn, and urging the Government of Pakistan to work with UNEP and UNIDO to expedite implementation of the second (2018) tranche of stage II so that the

Country	Views expressed by the Executive Committee
	third (2020) tranche could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Saint Kitts and Nevis (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the non-submission of the progress and financial reports and that the mandatory verification on HCFC consumption targets had not been completed, and urging the Government of Saint Kitts and Nevis to submit the required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP to complete the verification so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
Somalia (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to complex implementation modalities due to the political situation and additional security clearance issues, and urging the Government of Somalia to work with UNIDO so that the third (2020) tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2020 tranche.
South Africa (Stage I)	Noting that the overall disbursement rate of the fourth (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of South Africa to work with UNIDO so that the fifth (2018) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 tranche, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
South Sudan (Stage I)	Noting the delays due to the constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the political instability and the delayed approval and/or endorsements of decisions by the Government, and that the overall disbursement rate of the first (2016) tranche of stage I of the HPMP was below the 20 per cent disbursement threshold, and urging the Government of South Sudan to work with UNDP and UNEP so that the second (2018) tranche of stage I could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2018 and subsequent tranches, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (Stage II)	Noting the delays due to the political situation in the country, and urging the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to work with UNIDO so that the second (2019) tranche of stage II of the HPMP could be submitted to the 88 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2019 and subsequent tranches.