



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
LIMITED



UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/18/15
31 October 1995

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Executive Committee of
the Multilateral Fund for the
Implementation of the Montreal Protocol

Eighteenth Meeting
Vienna, 22-24 November 1995

UNIDO 1996 BUSINESS PLAN



1996 BUSINESS PLAN OF UNIDO

to be submitted to the 18th session of the
Executive Committee

November 1995

BUSINESS PLAN NARRATIVE

Executive Summary:

The Business Plan for 1996 was prepared based on activities already prepared during the last quarter of 1995 and foreseen activities to be carried out in 1996.

However, if in many countries a rather good planning was possible based on discussions held with government officials and available reliable data on companies to be assisted, it must be pointed out that for a limited number of countries, particularly "new comers" to the Fund, it was not always possible to determine with a certain degree of accuracy the activities to be undertaken by UNIDO, therefore, introducing uncertainties in few cases.

As already indicated in our previous reports, UNIDO is diversifying its activities in all sectors and sub-sectors in order to respond to numerous requests from Article 5 countries. This growth of the programme had to be matched with UNIDO's expertise and capacities as well as the budget share of 25% allocated to UNIDO and other relevant services such as the Contracts and Purchase Branch and the Financial Services.

The number of projects to be submitted in 1996 will be 78 with an estimated budget of US\$ 32,000,000 (including supports costs) which would represent 135% of the estimated budget share for UNIDO according to the decision taken at the 17th session of the Executive Committee.

However, it is worth to note that the establishment of cost-effectiveness thresholds in all sectors is definitely limiting the number of projects in the small-scale industry. Provided a clear policy yet to be decided by the Executive Committee on this issue, UNIDO will be more involved in this category of projects, which, while fragile and vulnerable, is believed to be vital to the economies of Article 5 countries.

A fairly high number of projects have also been prepared in various countries, particularly in "new ones", giving them a chance to receive financial support from the Fund as well as ensuring a more balanced geographic distribution.

New requests have recently reached UNIDO's Headquarters. They come from Croatia, Democratic Republic of Korea, Libya, Mexico and Santa Lucia. There are also indicators on the willingness of Mali and Thailand to request assistance from UNIDO.

Business Plan Narrative

A. Multilateral Fund targets

1. According to the three-year plan budget and regardless of sectors, UNIDO will be (by the end of 1996) exceeding both the ODS phase-out target and the estimated budget allocation for each agency. However, with this new approach, it is expected to have better forecasts provided that priorities are established accordingly.

2. Resource allocations for each particular category is as follows:

Investment projects: US\$ 24,739,000
which represent 75.5% of the total proposed budget

Low ODS-consuming countries: US\$ 3,200,000
(including investment projects)
which represents 10% of the total proposed budget

MACs and Compressors: US\$ 2,411,000
which represents 7.5% of the total proposed budget

Recycling (including Halons): US\$ 1,650,000
which represents 5% of the total proposed budget

3. Special initiatives.

Halon Fire extinguishers phase-out: 04 projects in China are being submitted at the 18th session and 25 additional projects to be prepared for submission for the following years. This is a result of a division of labour in China between the World Bank and UNIDO where it was agreed that all equipment projects in the Halon sector should be prepared by UNIDO.

Tobacco sector: Upon a pressing demand from the government of China, UNIDO is requesting funds for project preparation in the Tobacco sector. Three projects are expected to be submitted in the following years. In that connection, the Chinese delegation to the Executive Committee will state the position of China.

Retrofitting: One project in Brazil is expected to be submitted in 1996.

Aerosols and Solvents: As already indicated in previous reports, UNIDO is expanding its programme in the aerosols and solvents sectors with project submissions in the following countries: Romania, Kenya, Tunisia, Syria, India, Peru, Mexico and Indonesia.

Geographical distribution: Again, in 1996 UNIDO will be present in all regions of the world (Latin America, Caribbean Islands, Asia and Arab region, Africa and Eastern Europe) with substantial increased assistance in Africa.

1. Funded activities not yet financially completed:

a) *investment projects:*

The implementation of ongoing investment projects progresses. Particularly for projects approved at the 13th session of the Executive Committee and for which contracts have been awarded, the implementation is progressing quite satisfactorily. Equipment for projects in Cameroon (FAEM), Egypt (Electrostar, Kiriazi and Delta), Syria (Al-Hafez) and Vietnam (Searefico and Searee) has been ordered and the delivery is expected very soon; whilst projects in Argentina (Bandex and Celpack) and Iran (Arj, Azmayesh, Bahman, Iran Poya and Pars Appl.Co. for the refrigeration part) are near completion and the start-up production is scheduled for end-October 1995.

As for projects approved at the 15th session of the Executive Committee, all contracts have been awarded, i.e. Algeria (ENIEM), Egypt (Alaska, Helwan, Ibernna, El-Nasr, Siltal and Super Bosch), Syria (Penguin and Barada) and purchase of equipment is taking place. Projects approved at the last session of the Executive Committee have also known a significant progress through the finalization of terms of reference and calls for bids. Contracts are expected to be awarded by the end of this year.

The only projects which have been delayed for external reasons are as follows:

China: Nanjing Fire Equipment - the counterpart was not ready to receive any new equipment due to the transfer of the plant to a new place. However, the implementation is expected to start in a very short time.

India: ITI Mankapur - The agreement between IDBI (financial intermediary) and UNIDO has yet to be finalized. But in order not to waste any more time, the international bidding for the purchase of equipment has been carried out by UNIDO. It is hoped that the agreement is signed by the end of November.

Jordan: MEEI, NRC and HAMCO - despite the fact that the terms of reference were prepared and calls for bids were done, UNIDO could not start any implementation before reaching an agreement with the Jordanian Government on implementation modalities. We are now glad to report that this issue is resolved and the implementation is now under way.

In general, it is expected that by the end of 1995, the disbursement incurred will amount to US\$ 20,486,962 whereas the phase-out will reach 745 ODP tonnes as a result of the completion of the projects in Egypt (Advechems), in Argentina (Celpack, S.A. and Bandex, S.A.) and in Iran (Arj, Azmayesh, Bahman, Iran Poya and Pars Appliances).

b) *Non-investment projects and activities*

A training workshop on compressors conversion will be held in November in China. The projects for the Country Programmes preparation progress according to schedule; namely, the Country Programme of Romania was also approved at last session of the Executive Committee and a first draft of the Country Programme for the Republic of Macedonia is ready for review and completion.

The institutional strengthening projects are also progressing according to schedule, namely, in Egypt and Syria, whereas in Romania an agreement on implementation procedures between UNIDO and the Romanian authorities is under preparation.

c) *Project preparation*

A fairly high number of projects have also been prepared in various countries, particularly in new ones such as Barbados, Indonesia, Kenya, Lebanon, Mozambique, Nigeria, Pakistan, Romania, Seychelles, Sudan, Swaziland, Tunisia, Turkey and Tanzania, thus ensuring a more balanced geographic distribution among Article 5 countries. UNIDO has prepared and/or is preparing projects for the following countries: Algeria, Barbados, Brazil, Cote d'Ivoire, Cameroon, China, Ecuador, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Iran, Jordan, Kenya, Mozambique, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Gambia, Guinea, Namibia, Senegal, Romania, Seychelles, Sudan, Swaziland, Syria, Tunisia, Tanzania, Vietnam and Zimbabwe.

2. Programme Expansion

a) *Project preparation:*

From the already approved but not used funds for projects funded in 1995 (US\$ 435,000), and additional requests (US\$ 545,000), 103 investment projects will be generated out of which 78 will be submitted in 1996 in all sectors except for the sub-sectors of foam (rigid polyurethane), commercial refrigeration (hydrocarbon) and solvent (TCA). The projects to be submitted in 1996 are expected to bring an additional phase-out of 7,293.5 ODP tonnes.

b) *Non-investment projects activities:*

Requests were received from Libya to prepare the Country Programme for the phase-out of ODS in the country and from the Republic of Macedonia for the establishment of an institutional strengthening unit for the Montreal Protocol-related activities. At the request of Egypt, UNIDO is submitting to the 18th session of the Executive Committee the phase II of the ongoing project *Institutional Strengthening project for the Montreal Protocol-related Activities*.

UNIDO is therefore requesting US\$ 444,700 to carry out these activities in 1996.

C. Performance indicators:

1. a) The estimated average time between the Executive Committee approval and the first disbursement (after the contract has been awarded) is usually between six to seven months;
- b) as for the final disbursement, it is very much related to the type of investment project UNIDO is undertaking. We have tried to indicate estimated average for the following sectors:

Domestic refrigeration	:	26-27 months
Solvents	:	14-15 months
Foam	:	20-21 months
Aerosols	:	14-15 months
Halons	:	19-20 months

- c) as for the ODS phase-out, it is again related to the sector, i.e.:

Domestic refrigeration	:	24-25 months
Solvents	:	12-13 months
Foam	:	18-19 months
Aerosols	:	12-13 months
Halons	:	18-19 months

2. For the projects approved until July 1994, the total cost will come over 7% to 10% of the total approved budget, thus making the use of contingencies mandatory.

Based on contracts awarded for projects approved in December 1994, the total cost will come over 20 to 25% of the total approved budget making the use of contingencies mandatory and entering into negotiation with the counterparts for cost-sharing of certain items.

Based on offer received so far for projects approved in July 1995, the total cost will come over 35% of the total approved budget, making the use of contingencies mandatory and entering in more difficult negotiation with the counterparts.

3. Average cost of project preparation

Project preparation funds (including support costs):

$$\frac{\text{US\$ 1,107,399}}{103} = \text{US\$ 10,751/project}$$

$$\frac{\text{Project preparation funds} = \text{US\$ 1,107,399}}{\text{ODS to be phased out} = 9,601.5} = \text{US\$0.11/kg}$$

4. Expected ODS phase-out:

ODP tonnes phase-out expected in 1996: 3,636 ODP tonnes
 Compared to the total funded (6,729) (including projects in the consolidated list expected to be approved at the 18th session of the ExCom) :

$$\frac{\text{the \%age would then be : } 3,701}{6,729 \text{ (excluding projects already completed)}} = 55\%$$

5. The average cost-effectiveness for which phase-out will occur in 1996 would be :

$$\frac{38,218,861}{3,701,400} = \text{US\$ } 10.3/\text{kg}$$

It should be noted that 90.5% (US\$ 34,588,000) of the investment projects for which ODS phase-out will occur in 1996 is related to the refrigeration sector with a cost-effectiveness threshold of US\$ 18/kg. Indeed, the average cost-effectiveness expected for 1997 will drop to US\$ 5.08/kg, given the fact that projects in aerosol, foam, halons and solvents will be phased out during that year.

D. Policy Issues

Cost effectiveness:

1. It has been demonstrated on many occasions that small-scale projects and to a certain extent, medium-scale projects, cannot meet the cost-effectiveness thresholds, particularly in sectors like foam, aerosol, halons and solvents. UNIDO is continuously requested to formulate projects in the small-scale sectors (India, China, Indonesia, Algeria, Philippines). It is highly desirable to have from the Executive Committee a final decision on the appropriate way to fund small-scale investment projects.
2. In view of the fact that agencies are requested to prepare a business plan for the next years, requests on a project-by-project approach can no longer constitute a tool for good planning. It might be more effective to request Article 5 countries strategy papers indicating some planning of their respective future ODS phase-out programme, or amending country programmes accordingly.
3. It would also be helpful if the Executive Committee could initiate priorities on sectors in order to enable agencies to focus on particular sectors at given periods.

E. Administrative and financial matters**Promissory Notes**

UNIDO does not have the facility for encashing promissory notes, as noted on prior occasions. As we have stated earlier, we would request that UNEP, as Treasurer of the Fund be in the position to handle arrangements with contributing countries with respect to promissory notes. We fully realize the subject is a delicate one, but we would hope that the Fund's Treasurer could help.

Table 1: FUNDED INVESTMENT PROJECTS BY SECTOR ^{(1) (2) (3)}

Sector	Sub-Sector	No. of Countries	Approvals by the Executive Committee				Disbursement by the Agencies ([000]US\$)			ODP Phase Out		
							For 1995	Planned		Actual	Planned	
			Amount ([000]US\$)	ODP to be Phased Out (4)	Number of Projects	Cost Effective- ness (average)		Year of Plan (1996)	Following years	To-date (3)	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years
Aerosol		3	1,529	582	3	2.63		1,014	515		150	432
Foam	General											
Foam	Flexible Polyurethane											
Foam	Integral Skin	1	274	42	1	6.25	24	250			42	
Foam	Polystyrene/Polyethylene	2	1,924.7	545	3	3.53	1,764.7	160		545		
Foam	Rigid Polyurethane	1	2,500	1,200	1	2.08	500	1,200	800			1,200
Foam	Rigid Polyurethane (hydrocarbon)											
Halon	Recycling& banking											
Halon	Non-Recycling	1	496	1,480	1	0.335	200	296			1,480	
Refrigeration	Commercial	3	1,385.3	103	3	13.45	141	976	268.3		40.3	62.4
Refrigeration	Commercial (hydrocarbon)											
Refrigeration	Domestic	3	4,602	487.7	3	9.44	500	4,102.8			487.7	
Refrigeration	Domestic (hydrocarbon)	8	42,529.5	2,500.3	16	17.01	16,703.9	19,180.6	6,645	200	1,287.3	1,013
Refrigeration	MACs and Compressors	2	1,950	385	2	5.06		800	1,150			385
Refrigeration	Recycling	2	500.5	49.9	2	10.03	123	289	88.2		49.9	
Solvent	CFC-113	3	2,562.7	158.5	9	16.17	600	1,952.8			158.2	
Solvent	TCA	1	220.7	6	1	36.78		220.7			6	
Several Sectors												
Production												
SubTotal			60,474	7,539.40	45	8.02	20,557	30,442	9,467	745	3,701.40	3,092.4
Support Cost			7,862				2,672	3,957.4	1,231			
Total			68,336	7,539.40	45		23,229	34,399	10,697	745	3,701.40	3,092.4

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities
- (2) Funded activities include those projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed.
- (3) In some cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.
- (4) The amount of ODP in the proposal that led to the approval.

Table 2: PROJECT PREPARATION ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Sector	Sub-Sector	No. of Countries	Project Preparation Funds (US\$ 000s)		Projects to be Submitted					
			(Estimate) Surplus from Previous Approvals (as at 30 September 1995)	To be requested in 1996	Year of Plan (1996)			Carry over from 1996		
					Number of projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out	Number of Projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out
Aerosol		9	55	60	18	2,300	1,240	5	1,350	730
Foam	General	2	40		1	200	25	1	1,100	133
Foam	Flexible Polyurethane	3	65	10	3	1,000	115	2	1,200	400
Foam	Integral Skin	3	15		4	1,300	185	2	1,000	90
Foam	Polystyrene/Polyethylene	1		20	1	250	40			
Foam	Rigid Polyurethane	2						1	800	160
Foam	Rigid Polyurethane (hydrocarbon)	3			1	800	462	2	1,200	135
Halon	Recycling	1			1	150	15			
Halon	Non-Recycling	1	50		8	840	2,500			
Refrigeration	Commercial	5	40	35	8	2,990	305	1	500	35
Refrigeration	Commercial (hydrocarbon)									
Refrigeration	Domestic	5	40	25	4	1,800	229			
Refrigeration	Domestic (hydrocarbon)	9	30	65	10	12,730	1,410.5	3	3,400	385
Refrigeration	MACs and Compressors	3		30	5	2,101	510			
Refrigeration	Recycling	13	70	30	6	1,100	122	1	150	10
Solvent	CFC-113	3	30	70	8	700	135	5	500	20
Solvent										
Production										
Other	Tobacco expansion	1		200				2	8,000	210
Subtotal			435	545	78	28,261	7,293.5	25	19,200	2,308
Support Cost			56.55	70.85		3,674			2,496	
Total			491.55	616	78	31,935	7,293.5	25	21,696	2,308

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which they are funded or planned activities
- (2) Includes project preparation advances.

Table 3: NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS (1)(2)(3)(4)

Country	Region	Type	Title ⁽¹⁾	Project Funding (US\$000s)		Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$000s)			Date of Completion		Objective
				Already approved	To be Requested in 1996	To date	Year of Plan (1996)	Following Years	Activity (mo/yr)	Activity (mo/yr)	
Libya	Africa	CPG	Country Programme for the Phase out of Ozone Depleting Substances, Technical Centre for Environment Protection, Libya		80		80		12.1996		To provide an overview of the current situation in Libya with respect to ODS (production, consumption, structure of industry, significant actions already undertaken) and outline the ODS phase out strategy and related Action Plan.
Macedonia		CPG	Country Programme for the Phase out of Ozone Depleting Substance in Macedonia, Ministry of Planning, Civil Engineering, Telecommunications, Transportation and Environment	80		80	20		7.1996		To provide an overview of the current situation in Macedonia with respect to ODS (production, consumption, structure of industry, significant actions already undertaken) and outline the ODS phase out strategy and related Action Plan.
Romania	Europe	CPG	Country Programme for the Phaseout of Ozone Depleting Substances in Romania, Ministry of Waters, Forests and Environment	80		65	15		12.1995	6.1996	The CP for Romania was approved at the 17th session of the ExCom. It has two objectives. Firstly, the provision of an overview of the current situation in Romania with respect to ODS production and consumption, ODS industry structures and significant actions already taken by the Romanian Government as well as responses to the Montreal Protocol by ODS producing and consuming enterprises. Secondly, an outline of the ODS phase out strategy including the Action Plan endorsed by the Romanian Government
Subtotal				160	80	125	115	-			
Support Cost				21	10	16	15				
Total				181	90	141	130				

include funded activities, i.e. those approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed, and planned activities, not yet submitted.

In some cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

Non-investment Projects should be listed by type instead of sector.

Include sector if applicable and not included in title.

3: NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾

Country	Region	Type	Title ⁽⁵⁾	Project Funding (US\$000s)		Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$000s)			Date of Completion		Objective
				Already approved	To be Requested in 1996	To date	Year of Plan (1996)	Following Years	Activity (mo/yr)	Activity (mo/yr)	
	Africa	INS	Institutional Strengthening Unit for the Montreal Protocol related activities, (Phase I), Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA), Egypt	263.5		150.6	112.9		12.1996		<u>Short term:</u> To establish a national institutional mechanism (Ozone Unit, OU) within the EEAA structure for coordinating and promoting national and international efforts for the protection of the ozone layer and for facilitating actions aimed at an optimal phase-out of ODS within the country. <u>Long term:</u> Effective management and implementation of an optional phase-out program; building up local capacity for facilitating actions aimed at speedy phase-out of ODS in Egypt.
	Africa	INS	Institutional Strengthening project for the Montreal Protocol related activities (Phase II), Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA), Egypt		194.7			194.7	12.1998		To enable the OU (of EEAA) to continue to plan, organize, direct and coordinate all activities required for the implementation of the Egyptian Country Program including formulation and implementation of ODP phase out strategies for the various sectors.
	Asia & the Pacific	INS	Strengthening the General Commission for Environmental Affairs to implement Montreal Protocol related activities, General Commission for Environmental Affairs (GCEA), Ministry of Environment, Syria	235.2		60	120	55.2	7.1997		The principal objective of the project is to strengthen the capabilities of GCEA in order to manage the implementation of the Country Programme concerning the ODS phase out present used in the different industrial and service areas.
ia	Europe	INS	Institutional Strengthening Unit for the Montreal Protocol related activities, Macedonia, Ministry of Urbanism, Civil Engineering and Environment		170		70	100	12.1998		To create a national mechanism to facilitate the phase out of ODS in accordance with the action plan entailed in the Country Programme.

3: NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾

Country	Region	Type	Title ⁽²⁾	Project Funding (US\$000s)		Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$000s)			Date of Completion		Objective
				Already approved	To be Requested in 1996	To date	Year of Plan (1996)	Following Years	Activity (mo/yr)	Activity (mo/yr)	
...	Europe	INS	Creation of an Ozone Secretariat, Ministry of Waters, Forests and Environmental Protection (MWFEP, Romania)	168.4		50	59	59	11.1998		The Ozone Secretariat will be responsible for designing, monitoring and implementing the ODS phase out strategy subject to guidelines from the Romanian Government and the MWFEP.
Total				667.1	364.7	260.6	361.9	409.3			
Port Cost				86.7	47.4	33.9	47.0	53.2			
				753.8	412.1	294.5	408.9	462.5			

... funded activities, i.e. those approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed, and planned activities, not yet submitted.

... cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

... investment Projects should be listed by type instead of sector.

... the sector if applicable and not included in title.

Table 3: NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾

Country	Region	Type	Title ⁽¹⁾	Project Funding (US\$000s)		Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$000s)			Date of Completion		Objective
				Already approved	To be Requested in 1996	To date	Year of Plan (1996)	Following Years	Activity (mo/yr)	Activity (mo/yr)	
	Global	TAS	Strategy for phasing out of ODS in low ODS consuming countries (Global project)	100		100			12.1995		To prepare a guidance/strategy for phasing out of ODS in low ODS consuming countries. (UNIDO/U.S. EPA)
		TAS	Joint UNEP/UNIDO preparation of Country Programme	75		36.80	38		12.1996		To assist Article 5 countries, particularly low ODS consuming countries to meet requirements of the Montreal Protocol.
Subtotal				175		136.8	38				
Support Cost				22.75		17.784	4.966				
Total				198		154.6	43				

Notes:

Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities.

Include funded activities, i.e. those approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed, and planned activities, not yet submitted.

In some cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

Non-investment Projects should be listed by type instead of sector.

Include sector if applicable and not included in title.

Table 3: NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾

Country	Region	Type	Title ⁽⁵⁾	Project Funding (US\$000s)		Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$000s)			Date of Completion		Objective
				Already approved	To be Requested in 1996	To date	Year of Plan (1996)	Following Years	Activity (mo/yr)	Activity (mo/yr)	
	Asia & the Pacific	TRA	Training workshop in refrigeration in domestic and commercial compressor conversion, National Environment Protection Agency, China	40		40			12.1995		To increase the awareness of the compressor manufacturers regarding the Montreal Protocol and the technical possibilities of conversion to non-CFC domestic and commercial refrigeration compressors
	Africa	TRA	Training and certification programmes for refrigeration technicians, Natural Resources Department, Zambia	82			82		12.1996		Train technicians in recovery and recycling techniques and improve awareness of CFC leakage issues in order to reduce emissions, preparation for total elimination of ODS by/through retrofitting.
Total				122		40	82				
Port Cost				15.86		5.2	10.66				
				138		45.2	92.66				

le funded activities, i.e. those approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed, and planned activities, not yet submitted.

ne cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

vestment Projects should be listed by type instead of sector.

e sector if applicable and not included in title.

Table 4: FUNDED INVESTMENT PROJECTS (1)(2) (3)

Country	Region	Approvals by the Executive Committee				Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$ 000s)			ODP Phase Out		
		Amount (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out (4)	Number of Projects	Cost Effective- ness (average)	1995	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years	1995 (3)	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years
Algeria	Africa	7,313.5	582	3	12.57	3,049	2,823	1,640.6		150	425
Cameron	Africa	3,257.3	156	2	20.88	551	1,800	1,106.3		62.0	94
Egypt	Africa	13,205.7	668.09	10	19.77	6,449.5	6,756.2		196	472.09	
Kenya	Africa	465.19	32.3	1	14.4		260	205.19			32.3
Nigeria	Africa	2,708.1	134	2	20.2		400	2,308.1			134
Seychelles	Africa	188.2	3	1			100	88.2			3.4
Sudan	Africa	498	282	1	1.61		200	298			282
Swaziland	Africa	1,131.2	172	1	6.58		1,131.2			172	
Tanzania	Africa	417	150	1	2.67		200	217			150
Zimbabwe	Africa	312.3	46.5	1	6.72	123	189.3			46.5	
Regional Sub Total		29,496.6	2,226.3	23	13.25	10,172.5	13,459.7	5,863.4	196	902.59	1,120.7
Support Costs		3,834.5				1,322.4	1,749.8	762.2			
Total		33,331.0	2,226.3	23		11,494.9	15,209.5	6,625.6	196	902.59	1,120.70

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities
- (2) Funded activities include those projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed.
- (3) In some cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.
- (4) The amount of ODP in the proposal that led to the approval.

4: FUNDED INVESTMENT PROJECTS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾

	Region	Approvals by the Executive Committee				Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$ 000s)			ODP Phase Out		
		Amount (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out ⁽⁴⁾	Number of Projects	Cost Effective- ness (average)	1995	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years	1995 (3)	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years
	Asia and the Pacific	4,775.70	2136	3	2.24	200	1,996	2,579.70		1,480	656
	Asia and the Pacific	1,353.342	151.5	3	12.71	500	853.342			151.5	
Asia	Asia and the Pacific	423.05	30.1	1	14.05		360	63			30.1
	Asia and the Pacific	11,406.40	1960	2	5.82	5,273.3	5,333.10	800	200	560	1,200
	Asia and the Pacific	2,472.60	54.3	3	45.54		2,472.60			54.3	
	Asia and the Pacific	5,592.80	297	3	18.83	3,275.1	2,317.80			297	
m	Asia and the Pacific	497.07	40.3	1	12.33	141	356			40.30	
al Sub Total		26,521.0	4,669.2	16	5.68	9,389.4	13,688.8	3,442.7	200	2,583.1	1,886.1
t Costs		3,447.7				1,220.6	1,779.5	447.55			
		29,968.7	4,669.2	16		10,610.0	15,468.4	3,890.3	200	2,583.1	1,886.1

5:

menting agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities

ed activities include those projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed.

ne cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

mount of ODP in the proposal that led to the approval.

Table 4: FUNDED INVESTMENT PROJECTS ^{(1)(2) (3)}

Country	Region	Approvals by the Executive Committee				Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$ 000s)			ODP Phase Out		
		Amount (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out ⁽⁴⁾	Number of Projects	Cost Effectiveness (average)	1995	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years	1995 (3)	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years
Romania	Europe	2,481.9	206.7	1	12.01		2481.9			206.7	
Regional Sub Total		2,481.9	206.7	1	12.01	-	2,481.9	-	-	206.7	-
Support Costs		322.6				-	322.6	-			
Total		2,804.5	206.7	1		-	2,804.5	-	-	206.7	-

Footnotes:

(1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities

(2) Funded activities include those projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed.

(3) In some cases, project implementation (.e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.

(4) The amount of ODP in the proposal that led to the approval.

Table 4: FUNDED INVESTMENT PROJECTS ^{(1)(2) (3)}

Country	Region	Approvals by the Executive Committee				Disbursement by the Agencies (US\$ 000s)			ODP Phase Out		
		Amount (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out ⁽⁴⁾	Number of Projects	Cost Effectiveness (average)	1995	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years	1995 (3)	Year of Plan (1996)	Following years
Argentina	Latin America & the Caribbean	1,020.1	349	2	2.92	970	50		349		
Brazil	Latin America & the Caribbean	955.0	137	3	6.97	24	770.7	160.3		48	89
Regional Sub Total		1,975.1	486	5	4.06	994.0	820.7	160.3	349	48	89
Support Costs		256.8				129.2	106.7	20.84			
Total		2,231.9	486	5		1,123.2	927.4	181.1	349	48	89

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities
- (2) Funded activities include those projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee but for which financial transactions have not been completed.
- (3) In some cases, project implementation (e.g. ODS phase-out or workshop completion) may have occurred but the financial transactions may not have been completed.
- (4) The amount of ODP in the proposal that led to the approval.

Table5: PROJECT PREPARATION BY COUNTRY ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Country	Region	Project Preparation Funds (US\$000s)		Projects to be Submitted					
				Year of Plan (1996)			Carry over from 1996 ⁽³⁾		
		Surplus from Previous Approvals	To be requested in 1996	Number of projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out	Number of Projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out
Algeria	Africa	45		2	1,250	190	1	900	540
Cote d'Ivoire	Africa	25		3	200	71	1	150	40
Egypt	Africa			2	150	140			
Kenya	Africa	15		1	200	80			
Libya	Africa		15				1	800	45
Mozambique	Africa			1	600	39			
Nigeria	Africa	30					3	300	150
Senegal	Africa		10	1	200	40			
Sudan	Africa	58		2	400	70			
Tanzania	Africa			1	700	41			
Tunisia	Africa	15		4	1,000	179			
RAF ⁽⁴⁾	Africa	45		1	200	12			
Regional Subtotal		233	25	18	4,900	862	6	2,150	775
Support Cost		30.29	3.25		637			279.5	
Total		263.29	28.25	18	5,537	862	6	2,429.5	775

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities
- (2) Includes project preparation advances
- (3) Projects prepared with 1996 project preparation funds but expected to be submitted in the first part of 1997
- (4) RAF: Regional Africa: Project for recovery & recycling

Table5: PROJECT PREPARATION BY COUNTRY ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Country	Region	Project Preparation Funds (US\$000s)		Projects to be Submitted					
		Surplus from Previous Approvals	To be requested in 1996	Year of Plan (1996)			Carry over from 1996 ⁽³⁾		
				Number of projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out	Number of Projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out
China	Asia & the Pacific	70	255	13	6,530	3,800	3	10,000	510
India	Asia & the Pacific		20	9	300	50	1	1.5	10
Indonesia	Asia & the Pacific	15		1	450	30	1	500	35
Iran	Asia & the Pacific	10		1	3,600	322.5			
Jordan	Asia & the Pacific			1	150	15			
Pakistan	Asia & the Pacific			2	1,500	116			
Philippines	Asia & the Pacific		15	1	200	20			
Syria	Asia & the Pacific	15	40	5	2,350	334	1	600	40
Regional Subtotal		110	55	33	15,080	4,487.5	6	11,102	595
Support Cost		14.3			1,960.4			1,443.2	
Total		124.3	55	33	17,040	4,487.5	6	12,545	595

Footnotes:

(1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities

(2) Includes project preparation advances

(3) Projects prepared with 1996 project preparation funds but expected to be submitted in the first part of 1997

Table5: PROJECT PREPARATION BY COUNTRY ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Country	Region	Project Preparation Funds (US\$000s)		Projects to be Submitted					
				Year of Plan (1996)			Carry over from 1996 ⁽³⁾		
		Surplus from Previous Approvals	To be requested in 1996	Number of projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out	Number of Projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out
Macedonia	Europe		30	2	1,000	180			
Romania	Europe	22	20	8	3,055	928	3	1,900	485
Turkey	Europe	10		3	1,550	542	5	3,300	433
Regional Subtotal		32	50	13	5,605	1,650	8	5,200	918
Support Cost		4.16			728.7			676	
Total		36.16	15	13	6,334	1,650	8	5,876	918

Footnotes:

(1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities

(2) Includes project preparation advances

(3) Projects prepared with 1996 project preparation funds but expected to be submitted in the first part of 1997

Table5: PROJECT PREPARATION BY COUNTRY ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Country	Region	Project Preparation Funds (US\$000s)		Projects to be Submitted					
		Surplus from Previous Approvals	To be requested in 1996	Year of Plan (1996)			Carry over from 1996 ⁽³⁾		
				Number of projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out	Number of Projects	Value (US\$000s)	ODP to be Phased Out
Argentina	Latin America & the Caribbean		20	1	250	40			
Barbados	Latin America & the Caribbean		50	1	200	160			
Brazil	Latin America & the Caribbean			4	1,201	14			
Ecuador	Latin America & the Caribbean	15		1	275	65			
Guyana	Latin America & the Caribbean	15		1	200	20			
Mexico	Latin America & the Caribbean		70	5	450	18	5	500	20
Peru	Latin America & the Caribbean	30		1	100	5			
Regional Subtotal		60	140	14	2,676	322	5	500	20
Support Cost		7.8			347.9			65	
Total		67.8	140	14	3,024	322	5	565	20

Footnotes:

- (1) Implementing agencies will only provide data for those sectors/categories for which there are funded or planned activities
- (2) Includes project preparation advances
- (3) Projects prepared with 1996 project preparation funds but expected to be submitted in the first part of 1997