

Annex II

LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS

Country	Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee
Algeria	Noting that the third tranche (2014) was due for submission to the 71 st meeting in 2013, and urging the Government of Algeria to encourage the relevant enterprises to complete the conversion of their production lines to non-HCFC technologies, and to work with UNIDO so that the third tranche of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) can be submitted to the 76 th or 77 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Antigua and Barbuda	Noting that an operational quota system is a requirement for the approval of the second tranche of an HPMP, and urging the Government of Antigua and Barbuda to complete the Ministerial Order for the implementation of the quota system, submit required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP so that the second (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting.
Bangladesh	Noting that several activities had been completed in 2015 but that the rate of disbursement remains low, and urging the Government of Bangladesh to expedite the completion of the first (2011) and second (2013) tranches and to work with UNEP in training the NOU so that the third (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 77 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Cameroon	Noting that there were sufficient funds from the first and second tranches, and urging the Government of Cameroon to expedite the completion of activities in the first (2011) and second (2013) tranches and to work with UNIDO so that the third (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Central African Republic (the)	Noting that there had been some activities completed in 2014, and urging the Government of the Central African Republic to work with UNEP to expedite project implementation so that the second (2013) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th or 77 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2013 and subsequent tranches, accordingly.
Chile	Noting that the third (2013) tranche was approved at the 73 rd meeting in November 2014 and that there had been delays in the procurement process, and urging the Government of Chile to work with UNDP and UNEP to make every possible effort to expedite implementation of the activities in the tranches under implementation, so that the fourth (2014) tranche could be submitted to the 76 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, accordingly, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	Noting that there have been changes in the NOU but that procurement had been completed and disbursement increased in 2014-2015, and urging the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to work with UNDP and UNEP so that the third (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting.
Haiti	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was due in 2013 but that Haiti has not confirmed that an HCFC quota system was in place, and urging the Government of Haiti to work with UNEP to expedite the establishment of the quota system so that the second (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, accordingly.
Myanmar	Noting that an agreement between the Government of Myanmar and UNEP is required to implement the first (2012) tranche of stage I of the HPMP and urging the Government to complete the agreement with UNEP and expedite the implementation of the tranche so that the second (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2015 and subsequent tranches, accordingly, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.

Country	Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee
Philippines (the)	Noting that the required verification reports for 2013 and 2014 and the progress and financial reports have not been submitted, and urging the Government of the Philippines to work with UNEP to complete the required verification and progress and financial reports so that the second (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting.
Qatar	Noting that both the second (2013) and third (2015) tranche were past due, and urging the Government of Qatar to work with UNEP to expedite the signing of the agreement and with UNIDO for the endorsement letter so that the second (2013) tranche could be submitted to the 76 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2013 and subsequent tranches, accordingly.
Saint Lucia	Noting the delay in the completion of the verification report, and urging the Government of Saint Lucia to work with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the third (2015) tranche to the 76 th meeting.
Senegal	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Senegal to work with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the 2013 and 2014 verification reports and resolve the potential data discrepancies, if applicable, so that the second (2014) tranche could be submitted to the 76 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, accordingly.
South Africa	Noting that several activities have been completed in the first (2012) and second (2013) tranches of stage I of the HPMP and that there are sufficient funds available from these tranches at this time, and urging the Government of South Africa to work with UNIDO to expedite the implementation of the HPMP so that the third (2015) tranche of the HPMP can be submitted to the 76 th or 77 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Thailand	Noting that several activities have been completed and that the grant agreement has been signed but that a verification report was due, and urging the Government of Thailand to work with the World Bank to complete the verification report and expedite the implementation of the HPMP so that the third (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting, with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, accordingly, and on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Timor-Leste	Noting that there were sufficient funds from the second (2013) tranche to implement activities through December 2015 but that a progress and financial report was due to UNEP, and urging the Government of Timor-Leste to submit the required progress and financial report to UNEP and work with UNDP and UNEP to expedite implementation so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 76 th meeting.
Tunisia	Noting that the second (2015) tranche that was submitted to the 75 th meeting was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Tunisia to work with UNEP, UNIDO and the Government of France, to expedite progress so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 76 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.